Membrane Scaling and flux decline during fertiliser drawn forward

osmosis desalination of brackish ground water

Sherub Phuntsho^a, Fezeh Lotfi^a, Seungkwan Hong^b, Menachem Elimelech^c, Ho Kyong

 $Shon^{b,*}$

^aCentre for Technology in Water and Wastewater, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Technology, Sydney (UTS), Broadway, NSW 2007, Australia
^bSchool of Civil, Environmental & Architectural Engineering, Korea University, 1, 5-ka, Anam-Dong, Sungbuk-Gu, Seoul 136-713, Republic of Korea
^cDepartment of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, P.O. Box 208286, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520-8286, USA

* Corresponding author: Email: Hokyong.Shon-1@uts.edu.au

List of Tales

Table 1: Composition of the synthetic BGW feed with various TDS concentrations. This composition simulates the BGW usually found at the Buronga SIS in the MDB (Phuntsho 2012; Phuntsho et al. 2013). Osmotic pressure was calculated using an OLI Stream Analyser 3.2.

Table 2: Physical and chemical properties of membranes as provided by the manufacturer forTFC FO membranes and from various literatures for CTA membrane.

Table	1
-------	---

TDS→				
Compounds↓	BGW10	BGW20	BGW 35	
NaCl	3.713	7.426	13.000	
Na ₂ SO ₄	1.794	3.588	6.280	
KCl	0.134	0.268	0.470	
CaCl ₂ .2H ₂ O	0.317	0.634	1.110	
MgCl ₂ .6H ₂ O	3.947	7.895	13.820	
NaHCO ₃	0.094	0.189	0.330	
Total TDS (g/L)	7.824	15.647	27.382	
π (atm)	5.35	10.56	18.56	
рН	7.72	7.63	7.33	

Table 2	2
----------------	---

	Active layer	Pure water	NaCl	Salt	Membrane	
Sample	material	permeability	Rejection	permeability	thickness	Operating
		$(A=1 \text{ m}^{-1}\text{h}^{-1}\text{bar}^{-1})$	R(%)	(B =10 ⁻⁷ m/s)	(mm)	pН
СТА	Cellulose	0.64 ± 0.03	60 ± 4	9.8	93 ± 3	3-8
	triacetate					