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**Abstract**

Some of the most aggressive coral-excavating sponges host intracellular dinoflagellates from the genus *Symbiodinium*, which are hypothesized to provide the sponges with autotrophic energy that powers bioerosion. Investigations of the contribution of *Symbiodinium* to host metabolism and particularly inorganic nutrient recycling are complicated, however, by the presence of alternative prokaryotic candidates for this role. Here, novel methods are used to study nutrient assimilation and transfer within and between the outer-layer cells of the Indo-pacific bioeroding sponge *Cliona orientalis*. Combining stable isotope labelling, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and nanoscale secondary ion mass spectrometry (NanoSIMS), we visualize and measure metabolic activity at the individual cell level, tracking the fate of 15N-ammonium and 13C-bicarbonate within the intact holobiont. We found strong uptake of both inorganic sources (especially 13C-bicarbonate) by *Symbiodinium* cells. Labelled organic nutrients were translocated from *Symbiodinium* to the *Symbiodinium*-hosting sponge cells within 6 hours, and occasionally to other sponge cells within 3 days. By contrast, prokaryotic symbionts were not observed to participate in inorganic nutrient assimilation in the outer-layers of the sponge. Our findings strongly support the metabolic interaction between the sponge and dinoflagellates, shedding light on the ecological advantages and adaptive capacity of photosymbiotic bioeroding sponges in oligotrophic marine habitats.

**Introduction**

Coral reefs are among the most productive and biodiverse ecosystems, and are the result of a fragile balance between accretion of calcium carbonate by calcifying organisms, and its loss by physical, chemical or biological erosion (bioerosion). At the heart of reef accretion is the mutualistic symbiosis between scleractinian corals and dinoflagellates of the genus *Symbiodinium* (Muscatine, 1990; Gattuso *et al.*, 1999). Interestingly, *Symbiodinium* have also been linked to the opposite side of the carbonate balance, potentially powering the activities of a prominent group of bioeroding sponges from the genus *Cliona* (Rosell and Uriz, 1992; Hill, 1996; Schönberg, 2006; Weisz *et al.*, 2010).

Bioeroding sponges contribute substantially to the excavation of coral skeletons, accounting often for 40-70% and up to >90% of macroborer activity on coral reefs (Schönberg *et al.*, 2017a). They chemically etch and mechanically remove calcium carbonate (CaCO3) chips from the skeletons of organisms such as corals (Pomponi, 1980; Zundelevich *et al.*, 2007), adopting an endolithic lifestyle that often results in the death of the calcareous host (Glynn, 1997; Schönberg, 2000). The photosymbiotic *Cliona viridis* species complex consists of competitive and fast-spreading bioeroding sponges that host intracellular *Symbiodinium* in archaeocyte-like cells of the sponge pinacoderm (or outer layer) where light levels are highest (Vacelet, 1981; Rutzler, 1990). This symbiosis is hypothesized to explain observations of enhanced growth and bioerosion rates in the light (Rosell & Uriz, 1992; Hill, 1996; Schönberg, 2006; Fang *et al.*, 2016). Besides *Symbiodinium,* photosymbiotic clionaids belong to the “low microbial abundance” sponges, harbouring sparse prokaryotic communities in their mesohyl, typically to a similar concentration as in the surrounding seawater (Gloeckner *et al.*, 2014; Poppell *et al.*, 2014). “High microbial abundance” sponges on the contrary can host communities that are 2-4 orders of magnitude more concentrated (Hentschel *et al.*, 2006). In addition, these clionaid prokaryotic communities are perhaps phylogenetically less diverse in comparison to those of other sponge holobionts (i.e. the collective community of the host and its microbial symbionts) (Poppell *et al.*, 2014; Thomas *et al.*, 2016).

The presence of a small prokaryotic community complicates definitive attribution of metabolic involvement by *Symbiodinium* in host performance, despite evidence that seawater spiked with NaH13CO3 leads to initial 13C enrichment of the pinacoderm of *Cliona varians* followed by translocation to the choanosome (or inner layer that contains sponge choanocytes) (Weisz *et al.*, 2010). The complication is driven by the observations that: (1) the marine sponge literature strongly supports a metabolic role for intracellular (or intercellular) prokaryotic communities in the fixation of inorganic carbon and transfer of glycerol or small organic phosphates for host growth and reproductive capacity (Wilkinson, 1979; Usher, 2008; Poppell *et al.*, 2014); and, (2) it appears that it is microbial identity, not quantity, that determines the quantity of organic carbon translocated to sponge hosts with some photo-autotrophic cyanobacteria found not to translocate fixed products to their host (Freeman *et al.*, 2013; Thacker, 2005; López-Legentil *et al.*, 2008).

Attributing assimilation of inorganic nitrogen to *Symbiodinium* within a clionaid holobiont is likewise complicated by multiple potential candidates for this role. Freshly isolated *Symbiodinium* avail themselves of inorganic and organic forms of nitrogen (D’Elia *et al.*, 1983), and within a coral host *Symbiodinium* acquire ammonium [mainly through the glutamine synthetase/ glutamate synthase (GS/GOGAT) pathway (Rahav *et al.*, 1989)] or nitrate from the seawater surrounding their host (Kopp *et al.*, 2013). Prokaryotic symbionts, however, are also associated with moderating nitrogen availability within sponges (Fan *et al.*, 2012; Fiore *et al.*, 2010). For example, some assimilate ammonium into glutamate via the GS/GOGAT pathway, and this assimilation proceeds irrespective of the presence of autotrophic-eukaryotic symbionts such as diatoms (Hentschel *et al.*, 2012; Fiore *et al.*, 2015; Moitinho-Silva *et al.*, 2017). At the same time, the enzyme glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH) provides an alternate pathway for converting ammonium into amino acids, leading to the conclusion that a range of invertebrate phyla also have the capacity to directly assimilate inorganic nitrogen (Bender, 1985; Lee *et al.*, 1999; Hansen and Moran, 2011; Pernice *et al.*, 2012). In Porifera, genomic domains of GDH and GS are putative (Srivastava *et al.*, 2010), with evidence of GS expression in a clionaid sponge (Riesgo *et al.*, 2014). Whilst to date there is no evidence for a GOGAT enzyme in sponge genomes (see Supplementary Table S1), it is nonetheless possible that sponge cells, that are not evolutionarily far removed from a Protist origin (King *et al.*, 2008), can directly assimilate ammonium via GDH.

While a metatranscriptomic analysis in the diatom harbouring sponge *Cymbastela concentrica* revealed the functional potential of the diatoms in terms of carbon (fixed through photosynthesis) and nitrogen (assimilated or produced) contributed to other members of the holobiont (Moitinho-Silva *et al.*, 2017), similar studies are lacking in bioeroding sponges. Here, we use the prominent Indopacific bioeroding sponge *Cliona* *orientalis* as a model system to examine the realized rather than potential metabolic contributions of the host and symbiont community to holobiont energetics, and to assess the ability of such sponges to directly assimilate inorganic carbon (as bicarbonate) and nitrogen (as ammonium). Following a pulse-chase experiment, we capitalized on the integration of isotopic labelling, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and nanoscale secondary ion mass spectrometry (NanoSIMS) to detect *in situ* metabolic activity at the subcellular level while targeting cell types of interest (e.g. Musat *et al.*, 2012; Pernice *et al.*, 2012; Pernice and Levy, 2014; Kopp *et al.*, 2013). We quantitatively and qualitatively mapped the uptake, assimilation and distribution of the isotopically labelled materials over timeat the single-cell level of the outer sponge layer, exploring whether the dinoflagellates form the primary site of inorganic assimilation into organic material, and whether this assimilated material is subsequently translocated to sponge cells.

**Materials and Methods**

*Collection and maintenance of sponges*

*Cliona orientalis* sponges in encrusting “beta” morphology (Schönberg *et al*, 2017b) were collected at 5m depth at Tenements 2 (151.9302°E, 23.4326°S), Heron Reef slope, Great Barrier Reef in February 2016 (Figure 1a-d). To standardize the specimen size, cylindrical cores of 35 mm in diameter were extracted using a circular pneumatic drill from 7 different individuals (Figure 1b). The species identity was confirmed upon examination of the sponge spicules under the light microscope (Schönberg, 2000) (Figure 1c). The sponge cores were transferred to the outdoor flow-through seawater system at the Heron Island Research Station for 2 weeks of healing, and were then randomly distributed to three experimental aquaria (40L each) and acclimated for 10 days. The aquaria and lids were covered with Marine Blue 131 light filters (Lee Filters, Andover, UK) to mimic the typical light spectrum of the collection depth (Sampayo *et al.*, 2016). Over the duration of the experiment noon irradiance was 520 ± 73 µmol quanta m-2 s-1 and noon temperature was 27.2 ± 0.3 in the experimental tanks (mean ± SD measured by Odyssey and HOBO Pendant loggers).

*Pulse-chase labelling experiment*

To examine the assimilation of inorganic nitrogen and carbon within the holobiont, sponge cores were exposed to a labelling treatment with isotopically enriched artificial seawater (Supplementary Figure S1). The seawater contained enriched ammonium chloride powder (15NH4Cl, 15N isotopic abundance of 98%) and enriched sodium bicarbonate powder (NaH13CO3, 13C isotopic abundance of 99%) to a final concentration of 5µM and 2mM respectively. The 15NH4Cl concentration was based on ecological [summer concentrations of 0.9-9.1µM NH4+ at the Heron reef slope (Staunton Smith and Johnson, 1995) and NH4+-rich pulses frequently contributed by reef fish (Meyer and Schultz, 1985)] and methodological relevance (same concentration used by Pernice *et al.*, 2014). Both powders were supplied by Sigma Castle Hill, NSW, Australia and the enriched treatment was prepared according to Pernice *et al.* (2014). The sponge cores were incubated over a 6h pulse (starting at 06:30 am) in three independent closed-water chambers filled to 3L and equipped with a small wave maker. Each chamber was partially submerged into the 40L aquaria, which served as water baths for temperature regulation, and covered by the aquarium lid. After the pulse phase (0h to 6h), labelled cores were moved back to the natural flow-through seawater of the 40L aquaria (without exposing them to air) for the chase phase (6h to 72h). Sponge replicates were randomly sampled at t=0h, 6h and 72h respectively. Background enrichment levels were determined at t=0h from sponges in non-enriched artificial seawater containing 14NH4Cl and NaH12CO3 powder to the same concentration as the labelling treatment. Sampled sponge cores were vertically halved, the first half being snap-frozen and stored at -80°C for *Symbiodinium* extractions, and *Symbiodinium*-rich sponge material from the upper surface of the second half being fixed for further TEM and NanoSIMS analysis.

*Sample fixation and TEM analysis*

CaCO3 from the coral substrate was carefully removed to isolate intact sponge material, remove non-assimilated inorganics, and embed the samples for TEM (details in Supplementary text S1). Sponges were cut into 120nm sections using an Ultracut UC6 microtome (Leica Microsystems, Australia). Selected sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate on finder grids (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA, USA) and viewed at 80kV accelerating voltage using a JEOL 1011 Transmission Electron Microscope at the Centre for Microscopy and Microanalysis (University of Queensland, Brisbane). Sponge regions (approx. 35 x 35 µm) of high-embedment quality that contained cellular structures of interest (I, II and III defined below) were identified and marked on a separate digital map of the grid so that they could be located by the instrument camera during the NanoSIMS analysis.

*NanoSIMS analysis*

In order to examine the 15N and 13C organic enrichment in the sponge holobiont over time and space, the selected regions of the same sections were imaged with a NanoSIMS ion probe (NanoSIMS 50, CAMECA, Paris, France) at the Centre for Microscopy, Characterisation, and Analysis (University of Western Australia, Perth) using methodology previously established in Cnidaria (Supplementary text S1) (Pernice *et al.*, 2012, 2014; Wangpraseurt *et al.*, 2015). Analysis of the NanoSIMS results (Figure 2) focused on three areas of interest (AOI) all within the outer sponge layer, namely (I) *Symbiodinium* cells (typical diameter 7-10 µm), (II) surrounding cytoplasm of the host archaeocyte-like cells that contain *Symbiodinium* (selections of approx. diameter 5 µm), hereafter termed *Symbiodinium*-hosting cells, and (III) random selections of unidentified sponge or microbial cells and intercellular space of the mesohyl matrix in the same field of view as the *Symbiodinium* cells (diameter 5µm) (Figures 2b,f,j and 4a). *Symbiodinium*-hosting cells were not observed to host other microorganisms, which were overall sparse in the examined sponge layer. In total we analysed 5 individual sponges, with on average 6 raster regions containing 70 AOI per sponge (see Supplementary Table S2 for details). Consulting the corresponding TEM images, all AOI were drawn onto the 12C14N- maps which do not display the enrichment levels for an unbiased selection. Once the 15N/14N and 13C/12C ratio maps were obtained (Figure 2c,g,k and d,h,l), the AOI were superimposed on the NanoSIMS maps allowing extraction and quantification of the mean 15N/14N and 13C/12C ratios of the selected cells. Enrichments levels were expressed using delta notations (δ15N and δ13C in ‰) as follows:

where Nmes is the 15N/14N ratio measured in labelled sponges and Nnat is the natural 15N/14N ratio measured in AOI of non-labelled control sponge samples. Similarly, Cmes is the 13C/12C ratio measured in the AOI of the labelled sponges and Cnat is the natural 13C/12C ratio. Using the same NanoSIMS methodology and resolution, enriched prokaryotic cells have been detected in the *C. orientalis* choanosome after a pulse of organic compounds (Achlatis *et al*., unpublished data), demonstrating the capacity of the current methodology to detect enrichment of cells that are more than 10-fold smaller than *Symbiodinium*. After the enrichment levels were determined, enriched versus non-enriched AOI were distinguished for each time-point and their proportions as fractions of the measured AOI population were determined. Only the enriched AOI were considered when calculating mean enrichment levels.

Symbiodinium*-specific 15N-ammonium and 13C-bicarbonate uptake rates*

Since uptake by *Symbiodinium* was found to be dominant (see Results), the sponge material of the remaining halves of the cores was used to determine the surface areas of the sponge, the *Symbiodinium* population density and the nitrogen and carbon content of the *Symbiodinium* fraction as detailed in the Supplementary text S1. These parameters were then used to determine the ammonium and bicarbonate assimilatory capacity of the dinoflagellate symbionts.

*Statistical analysis*

Statistical analyses were done in Primer v6 (Clarke and Gorley, 2006) with permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) add-on. PERMANOVA is a semiparametric test that offers both the flexibility of distribution-free tests and the partitioning to analyse complex designs while considering interaction terms (Anderson *et al*., 2008). While typically used in multivariate approaches, it provides useful tools for univariate datasets (Anderson *et al*., 2008) generated by low-throughput methods, such as NanoSIMS (Musat *et al.*, 2012; Gao *et al.*, 2016). The pseudo-F statistic was derived from dissimilarity matrices constructed with Euclidean distance and the P(perm) value was based on 4999 permutations under a full model using type III sums of squares to account for the unbalanced design. A two-factor design with Treatment (Pre-pulse t=0h, Post-pulse t=6h and Post-chase t=72h) and AOI [(I), (II), (III)] was used to test for differences in 15N or 13C enrichment levels of each AOI over time, and between the AOI of each time-point. Pairwise post-hoc comparisons were conducted using permutational pseudo-t tests when significant factor effects were found. Dispersions differed amongst the compared groups, with the greatest dispersion consistently found in the largest group, rendering the permutational tests relatively conservative (Anderson and Walsh, 2013). Detailed statistical outputs are given in Supplementary Table S3. For each AOI type, two-proportion z-tests (Fleiss *et al.*, 2003) computed in Statistica v13 (Dell, California, USA) were used to compare a) the proportion of enriched AOI at t=6h to the proportion enriched at t=72h for both nitrogen and carbon and b) the proportion of 15N-enriched cells to that 13C-enriched cells and both t=6h and t=72h (Supplementary Table S4). Throughout the paper, results are considered significant at the p<0.05 level.

**Results and Discussion**

*Single-cell enrichments through NanoSIMS analysis*

The NanoSIMS analysis detected nitrogen and carbon enrichment in the sponge and/or symbiont cells on thin surface planes, allowing for visualization of the enrichment levels in the intact holobiont (Figure 2). The enrichment images were compared to the TEM images of the same sponge areas and therefore the corresponding cell structures were accurately identified (Figure 2a,e,i). The isotopic ratios (15N/14N and 13C/12C) were separately measured and compared to natural abundance ratios of unlabelled control samples for each area of interest (AOI, Figure 4a; individual values in Supplementary Table S2).

The nitrogen enrichment levels of the examined AOI differed between the treatment time-points (Pseudo-F=4.483, P(perm)=0.032). Dinoflagellate cells present in the outer layer of the sponge displayed a substantial 15N-enrichment of 1416 ± 360‰ (mean ± SEM) after the 6h pulse of enriched seawater [pair-wise comparison between pre-pulse samples and treated samples at t=6h (abbreviated hereafter as “t=0h versus t=6h”), P(perm)<0.001, Figure 3a]. During the chase over the next 3 days, dinoflagellates remained enriched at an enrichment level of 667 ± 224‰ (t=0h versus t=72h, P(perm)=0.012). The dinoflagellates hosted within *Cliona orientalis* cells are thus able to rapidly absorb and assimilate ammonium from the surrounding seawater, as known for coral dinoflagellates where ammonium is the preferred source of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (Grover *et al.*, 2008; Pernice *et al.*, 2012, 2014; Kopp *et al.*, 2013). In the sponge, water movement through the canal network may allow for the rapid diffusion of ammonium to the intracellular dinoflagellates, possibly stimulated by photosynthetic energy during the pulse phase (Leys *et al.*, 2011; Strehlow *et al.*, 2016a). During photosynthesis, the profusion of energy and carbon compounds as acceptors for ammonium ions may facilitate direct incorporation of ammonium into amino acids potentially through the efficient yet energetically-demanding GS/GOGAT pathway (Muscatine and D’Elia, 1978; Rahav *et al.*, 1989; Foyer *et al.*, 2003). Intriguingly, the *Symbiodinium*-rich pinacoderm also directly takes up particulate organic nitrogen (shown in *Cliona varians* by Weisz *et al.*, 2010).

The carbon enrichment levels also differed between the treatment time-points, but the response depended on the AOI in question (2-way interaction, Pseudo-F=5.052, P(perm)=0.001). As expected, 13C-bicarbonate was taken up rapidly by the photosynthetic dinoflagellates, which displayed an organic enrichment of 2172 ± 272‰ at t=6h (Figure 3b, t=0h versus t=6h, P(perm)<0.001). At the end of the chase (t=72h), the enrichment had dropped significantly to 1055 ± 116‰ (t=0h versus t=72h, as well as between t=6h and t=72h, all P(perm)<0.001). At both time-points, carbon enrichment was strongest in the dinoflagellates compared to the two other AOI (pair-wise comparisons between the three AOI at t=6h and at t=72h, all P(perm)<0.01 and P(perm)<0.05 respectively). The nitrogen and carbon enrichments found are comparable if not higher to enrichment previously found in clade C *Symbiodinium* of a symbiotic coral that was incubated in the same concentrations of the same sources (Pernice *et al.*, 2014), when the different incubation times are taken into account (48h labelling pulse for the coral versus 6h pulse in the current experiment).

The isotopic ratios of the cytoplasm of *Symbiodinium*-hosting cells revealed a less strong 15N-enrichment at t=6h (631 ± 167‰) (t=0h versus t=6h, P(perm)<0.001, Figure 3a), and showed high variability at t=72h (773 ± 235‰) (t=0h versus t=72 h, P(perm)=0.012). Similarly, these cells were enriched in carbon, with enrichment ranging from 750 ± 153‰ at t=6h to 653 ± 65‰ at t=72h (t=0h versus t=6h and t=72h, both P(perm)<0.001, Figure 3b). At t=72h, the 13C-enrichment level of the *Symbiodinium*-hosting cells was higher than that of mesohyl material (pair-wise comparisons between the two AOI at t=72h, P(perm)=0.0028).

Mesohyl areas nearby *Symbiodinium* were scarcely enriched in nitrogen throughout the experiment, with only 3 AOI out of 50 enriched at t=72h (t=0h versus t=72h, P(perm)=0.012, Figure 3a). Mesohyl areas were more frequently enriched in carbon, with enrichment levels stable at approx. 250 ± 31‰ (t=0h versus t=6h and t=72h, both P(perm)<0.001, Figure 3b). All enriched mesohyl material (both for nitrogen and carbon) was of host origin and no enriched prokaryotes were observed under the present experimental conditions, indicating that these sparse microorganisms most likely don’t have an active role or at least have a lesser role in ammonium and bicarbonate assimilation in the outer layer of this sponge species.

Invertebrate hosts such as clams, jellyfish and sponges may infrequently digest their symbionts when under stress (Rosell, 1994; Hill and Hill, 2012; Strehlow *et al.*, 2016b), yet here the dinoflagellate cell membranes and thylakoids of the 113 cells that we examined remained intact and without signs of digestion throughout the experiment. Given the lack of evidence of algal digestion, we argue that in the present case the NanoSIMS quantification supports translocation of both nitrogen and carbon compounds incorporated by the dinoflagellates to the host cells that contain the dinoflagellates for a number of reasons: For nitrogen specifically, translocation is evident because only the hosting cells that contained enriched *Symbiodinium* were found to be enriched in nitrogen, and no other sponge cells took up ammonium during the pulse phase of the experiment. For carbon, since there are no pathways of direct inorganic carbon assimilation in animal cells, we suggest that bicarbonate was incorporated into photosynthates by *Symbiodinium* (Trench, 1993) and was then transported or leached out into the cytoplasm of the *Symbiodinium*-hosting cell. Already at 6h, one third of *Symbiodinium*-hosting cells were enriched in nitrogen and almost twice as many were enriched in carbon (Figure 4b, two-proportions test, p=0.027). At each time-point, dinoflagellates enriched in nitrogen and/or carbon were in approx. 70% of the cases hosted by enriched sponge cells i.e. translocation was a common phenomenon. Furthermore, *Symbiodinium*-hosting cells were never enriched unless they hosted an enriched dinoflagellate, which provides additional evidence for the autotrophic origin of the enrichment. The most parsimonious explanation for both tracers would be that translocation to the *Symbiodinium*-hosting cells already started during the 6h pulse, rendering further translocation during the chase phase less pronounced.

Apart from the *Symbiodinium*-hosting cells, translocation to nearby mesohyl areas was seldom; only approximately 12% of the mesohyl AOI were enriched in carbon and at most 6% were enriched in nitrogen over the course of the experiment (Figure 4b). In other symbiotic invertebrates such as Cnidaria and tridacnid clams, translocation of amino acids and photosynthates (principally glucose but also glycerol and organic acids) from *Symbiodinium* to non-*Symbiodinium*-hosting cells is well-established (Wang and Douglas, 1998; Yellowlees *et al.*, 2008; Burriesci *et al.*, 2012). In the porous sponge body that is subject to constant water flow, it is more likely that enrichment signals may weaken over large surface areas away from the uptake site as compounds are processed by cellular metabolism and/or indirectly transferred to other cells through the mesohyl. However, the low translocation we observed may also indicate that nitrogen or carbon-derived compounds are rapidly consumed to support basal metabolic rates, or are not used for host growth (and/or metabolism) in the outer sponge layer within the first 72-hours after capture. Instead, the compounds may serve as a direct source to the amoeboid *Symbiodinium*-hosting cells: these cells have a high metabolic demand since they purportedly migrate vertically in the sponge body on a daily basis, transporting the symbiont to deeper layers at night to protect them from sponge predators and back to the surface by day for energy capture via photosynthetic activity (Schönberg and Suwa, 2007). It is unclear how the symbiont migration is controlled; potentially, all the symbiont energy is burnt in the migration and hence symbiont cells have “hijacked” host cells to improve their photosynthetic ability, without necessarily contributing to other energetically expensive activities of the host. Alternatively, this migration simultaneously serves for transportation of fixed materials to deeper layers of the host (e.g. translocation of carbon to the choanosome as shown by Weisz *et al.*, 2010) not examined here.

The little nitrogen mesohyl enrichment that we found was only present during the chase phase (Figure 3a), again implicating an indirect uptake of the enriched material through translocation. Symbiotic invertebrates are also able to directly assimilate ammonium (e.g. shown by Pernice *et al.*, 2012 under 20µM 15NH4Cl), yet our results suggest that such a pathway was not generally active in the sponge under the experimental conditions. The ammonium concentration during the pulse (5µM) may have favoured GS/GOGAT pathways of *Symbiodinium* over GDH of the host; the latter pathway requires less energy yet higher ammonium loads due to lower ammonium affinity (Harper *et al.*, 2008), which could imply a slower response time for direct assimilation by host cells. If the mechanism of ammonium assimilation is concentration-dependent (Kopp *et al.*, 2013), it would be of interest to determine whether a higher ammonium spike would lead to greater/faster activation of potential animal GDH. Under oligotrophic conditions, it appears that the dinoflagellates are chiefly responsible for incorporation of ammonium potentially into uric acid, urea or amino acids, as is the role of (prokaryotic) symbionts in other sponges (Kopp *et al.*, 2013; Fiore *et al.*, 2010, 2015; Moitinho-Silva *et al.*, 2017).

*Heterogeneity in 15N and 13C enrichment*

The incorporation of 15N and 13C was apparent in the majority of the dinoflagellates, yet some had incorporated one of the tracers only, or showed little incorporation of the tracers (Figure 4b, Supplementary Table S4). This was particularly true for nitrogen, with enrichment in 59% of the *Symbiodinium* population measured after the 6h-pulse, as opposed to 93% of the population for carbon (two-proportions test, p<0.0001, n=56 cells). Although rates of carbon fixation by *Symbiodinium* *in hospite* do not appear to depend on nitrogen availability (Falkowski *et al.*, 1993), fixation products may differ; dinoflagellate cells enriched in carbon but not in nitrogen may imply production of sugars and lipids. We acknowledge that distinguishing between sugar/lipid and amino acid production (the latter being dependent on the nitrogen availability and therefore potentially stimulated by the provided pulse of ammonium) is beyond the scope of our study.

While part of the observed heterogeneity in isotopic enrichment could be linked to the orientation of the thin sectioning across specific subcellular structures of dinoflagellates (Pernice *et al.*, 2014), it is far too prevalent to be caused by sample bias or measurement noise only, especially since (i) it was occasionally observed in the same field of view (e.g. Figure 2k,l) and (ii) each NanoSIMS analysis included several layers and was therefore representative of a few tens of nm depth in the cellular material. Alternatively, variability could be caused by intercladal or interspecies metabolic heterogeneity (Pernice *et al.*, 2014), but only one species of *Symbiodinium* (*S. endoclionum*; Ramsby *et al.*, 2017) belonging to clade G (Schönberg *et al.*, 2005; Hill *et al.*, 2011) has so far been described in *Cliona orientalis*. Within a lineage, variability in nutritional strategies may arise due to different microenvironments inside the sponge body, divergent life histories or cell cycle stages (e.g. dissipation of enrichment signals upon cellular division), or stochastic gene expression of cellular transporters or assimilation pathways amongst cells (Matantseva *et al.*, 2016). Ultimately, the cell-to-cell metabolic heterogeneity found within the *Symbiodinium* population (undetectable in bulk studies but revealed with NanoSIMS) may have important implications for stability and competitive advantage inside the host microenvironment, as shown for a number of microorganisms (Kaern *et al.*, 2005).

The NanoSIMS technique furthermore revealed spatial heterogeneity in 15N and 13C- enrichment within single *Symbiodinium* cells, in addition to that observed between cells. Subcellular enrichment hotspots are commonly observed in NanoSIMS analyses and they contribute to the large standard deviations produced for each per cell measured (e.g. Pernice *et al.*, 2012, 2014). Similarly to coral dinoflagellates, this heterogeneity may reflect temporary storage of nitrogen in cytosolic crystalline structures (Kopp *et al.*, 2013) and carbon in intracellular lipid droplets and starch granules of the chloroplast pyrenoid or the cytosol (Kopp *et al.*, 2015).

Symbiodinium*-specific 15N-ammonium and 13C-bicarbonate uptake rates*

During the 6h-pulse, *Symbiodinium* fixed nitrogen and carbon at specific uptake rates of 122 ± 32 ng N h-1 cm-2 and 7901 ± 853 ng C h-1 cm-2 respectively (Figure 5). Variability in the duration and concentration of the labelling pulse makes it difficult to compare to existing NanoSIMS uptake rates, but our results fall in the same order of magnitude as previously reported for *Symbiodinium* in coral [504 ± 28 ng N h-1 mg-1 (standardized to mass of dinoflagellates) which is equivalent to 385 ± 21 ng N h-1 cm-2; Pernice *et al.*, 2012] and for a free living dinoflagellate (~2 pg C h-1 cell-1 which would be equivalent to 2816 ng C h-1 cm-2 in the sponge; Matantseva *et al.*, 2016). Despite rapid osmium post-fixation before rinsing and while avoiding acetone storage of the samples, low-molecular weight compounds that are soluble and poor in amino-groups may not always be successfully immobilized during the fixation protocol used in this study. Therefore, absolute uptake rates especially of bicarbonate represent mostly incorporation into macromolecules and may be an underestimate of the total uptake of the tracer.

*Ecological significance*

Stimulation of bioerosion by light has been suggested for a number of photosymbiotic bioeroding species (Rosell & Uriz, 1992; Hill, 1996; Schönberg, 2006), including *C. orientalis* (Fang *et al.*, 2016). Accordingly, the bioerosion rates of *C. orientalis* decrease after prolonged bleaching due to heat stress (Achlatis *et al.*, 2017) or after artificial inhibition of photosynthesis (Achlatis *et al*., unpublished data). Our NanoSIMS results provide evidence of strong fixation of carbon and nitrogen by *Symbiodinium* in the light and transfer of carbon and nitrogen-compounds at least to specific cell types, but we did not examine potential translocation of these nutrients to the etching cells in deeper sponge layers. Although direct or indirect stimulation of bioerosion by *Symbiodinium*-harvested energy is most likely, we cannot exclude the potential that (auto)trophic bacteria deeper in the sponge (also) supply energy for etching. Future studies may clarify the abundance and function of prokaryotic symbionts or migrating *Symbiodinium* with regards to (chemo)autotrophic or non-photosynthetically-driven assimilation of nitrogen and carbon.

While calcifying corals are in decline worldwide (De’ath *et al.*, 2009; Hughes *et al.*, 2017), the prevalence and excavating activity of photosymbiotic bioeroding sponges has been observed to increase on disturbed reefs (Rützler, 2002; Schönberg and Ortiz, 2008). Furthermore, the upper thermal limit of the partnership between *Symbiodinium* and bioeroding sponges is considered higher than that of the respective scleractinian symbiosis, possibly enabling bioerosion to temporarily persist as global warming impairs rates of calcification (Fang *et al.*, 2014; Schönberg *et al*., 2017a). Understanding the metabolic interaction between bioeroding sponges and their symbiotic dinoflagellates will enhance projections of the response of bioeroding sponges and their excavating activity to warming, acidification and eutrophication of reef environments. This provides valuable insight into the competition dynamics between calcifying corals and decalcifying sponges, with important implications for the carbonate budgets of coral reefs.

**Conclusion**

We have provided imaging and quantification of nitrogen and carbon assimilation at the single-cell level in the bioeroding sponge *Cliona orientalis*, using NanoSIMS analysis in combination with TEM ultrastructural observations. In a novel application of NanoSIMS to (bioeroding) sponges, we provide a qualitative and quantitative baseline of the metabolic integration and translocation of nutrients within the outer layer of a sponge holobiont. In this regard, NanoSIMS is a powerful tool that bridges the functional potential of symbiotic partners to experimental evidence of realized metabolic pathways. The metabolism of carbon-containing photosynthates is well established in other symbiotic invertebrates and the fate of nitrogen-containing compounds is also being explored (Rädecker *et al.*, 2015); for both these pathways, we provide a reference description inside a less studied symbiosis. Our data show clear differences in the roles of the host and microbial cells, stressing the precedence of the dinoflagellate symbiont over prokaryotic symbionts in the outer layers of *C. orientalis* for the efficient uptake of inorganic nutrients in oligotrophic reef waters. All in all, our results provide new insights into how light-dependent symbioses in sponges enable both hosts and symbionts to function in microhabitats that would otherwise be unfavourable.
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**Figure Legends**

Figure 1: The photosymbiotic bioeroding sponge Cliona orientalis from the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. (a) C. orientalis (brown patch), here eroding a massive Porites lobata colony on Heron Reef, is the most abundant and aggressive representative of the Cliona viridis species complex on the Great Barrier Reef (Schönberg and Ortiz, 2008). Such sponges can reach several square meters in surface area at over 1 cm of substrate penetration and they habitually attack and kill live corals. They not only etch the coral skeleton endolithically but they also compete with coral polyps, attacking from beneath where defensive nematocysts are absent and expanding laterally over the coral colony thereby increasing their light-harvesting surface. (b) Diagram depicting a cross section of experimental cores (35mm in diameter) extracted out of the sponge using a circular drill, revealing the different functional layers. The outer sponge layer (brown, investigated in the current study) hosts dinoflagellates of the genus Symbiodinium, while the underlying layer where light does not reach consists of sponge material without dinoflagellates (ochre yellow, not investigated here) and is rich in aquiferous canals that circulate water through the body for e.g. respiration and heterotrophy. Both layers are rock-hard because the sponge biomass is finely intertwined inside the CaCO3 substrate. The lowest layer (beige) consists of coral skeleton that is not yet infested by the sponge. (c) The dinoflagellate-rich sponge layer (pinacoderm, black arrows point at individual dinoflagellates) as seen under the light microscope (400x magnification). The sponge also secretes its own inorganic skeleton made of siliceous spicules (tylostyles, white arrows). (d) TEM image of an unidentified bacterium sparsely found in the mesohyl of the outer layer of C. orientalis. Other than Symbiodinium, the sponge hosts a low abundance of other microbes (like Cliona varians, Poppell et al., 2014) such as Alpha and Gammaproteobacteria (Pineda et al., 2016).

Figure 2: Visualization of 15N-ammonium and 13C-bicarbonate assimilation in the photosymbiotic bioeroding sponge holobiont Cliona orientalis, with a focus on the resident dinoflagellates of the genus Symbiodinium. (a, e, i) TEM images of three selected holobiont samples before the addition of label (t=0h), at the end of the pulse period (t=6h) and at the end of the chase period (t=72h). (b, f, j) NanoSIMS 12C14N- image displaying the selection of the areas of interest (AOI) for enrichment quantification: (I) Symbiodinium (in green, S, total measured n=113), (II) Cytoplasm of Symbiodinium-hosting sponge cells (in yellow, Hs, n=82) and (III) nearby mesohyl cells and intercellular space (in red, M, n=150) (see also Figure 4a). (c, g, k) Distribution of 15N/14N ratio and (d, h, l) of 13C/12C ratio over the same selected areas as obtained through NanoSIMS analysis at each of the three time-points. The images show the deviation of the ratios from the natural abundance ratio, using a rainbow scale in hue saturation intensity. The rainbow scale ranges from natural abundance in blue (0.0037 for 15N/14N and 2x 0.0110 for 13C/12C) to several-fold enrichment above natural abundance in red (approx. 3-fold for 15N/14N and 5-fold for 13C/12C). Heterogeneity in label incorporation is exemplified by (k) and (l), where Symbiodinium cell S1 that was measured simultaneously to Symbiodinium cell S2 shows disproportionately low nitrogen uptake in comparison to carbon. Individual isotopic ratios per AOI are given in the Supplementary Table S2.

Figure 3: Quantification of (a) nitrogen and (b) carbon assimilation in enriched host and symbiont cells of the bioeroding sponge Cliona orientalis in response to a pulse of seawater enriched in 15N-ammonium and 13C-bicarbonate. Through NanoSIMS isotopic analysis, the mean 15N and 13C enrichments were quantified in three areas of interest (AOI): (I) Symbiodinium (in green), (II) Cytoplasm of Symbiodinium-hosting sponge cells (in yellow) and (III) nearby mesohyl cells and intercellular space (in red). Individual values are given in Supplementary Table S2. Significant differences (P(perm)<0.05) are marked between t=0h and enriched samples (\*), between enriched samples from t=6h to t=72h (‡) and between different AOI within the same time-point (†) (Supplementary Table S3). The grey lines and their width depict the range of the natural 15N (a) and 13C levels (b) measured in control unlabelled sponges (δ15N = 0 ± 30‰ and δ13C = 0 ± 35‰). The box-whisker plots display the data in quartiles, with the bottom of the box corresponding to the 25th percentile, the line within the box marking the median and the top of the box corresponding to the 75th percentile. The black lines outside the boxes connect the means of the time-points to each other.

Figure 4: Distribution of 15N and 13C enriched and non-enriched cells of three cellular structures of interest in the bioeroding sponge holobiont Cliona orientalis, with a focus on its resident dinoflagellates of the genus Symbiodinium. (a) TEM displaying examples of the cellular areas of interest (AOI) examined: (I) Symbiodinium (in green, S), (II) Symbiodinium-hosting sponge cells (in yellow, Hs) and (III) nearby mesohyl cells and intercellular material (in red, M). (b) Pie-charts illustrating the distribution of 15N and 13C enriched and non-enriched cells for each of the AOI displayed in (a) as obtained from NanoSIMS quantification. The numbers on the pie charts correspond to the AOI replicates (n=…) analysed at each timepoint.

Figure 5: Single-cell nitrogen and carbon assimilatory capacity of the dinoflagellate symbionts residing in the bioeroding sponge Cliona orientalis. Assimilation was quantified in n=56 Symbiodinium cells with NanoSIMS after a 6h pulse of 15N-ammonium and 13C-bicarbonate enriched seawater. Cellular uptake rates were normalized to the average N or C content of the symbiont cells and the pulse time according to the calculations provided by Dugdale and Wilkerson (1986) and expressed per surface area of the sponge holobiont. Bars display mean ± SEM.