

Detection and Typing of Plasmids in Acinetobacter baumannii Using rep Genes Encoding Replication Initiation Proteins

Margaret M. C. Lam,^a Jonathan Koong,^b Kathryn E. Holt,^{a,c} **@**[Ruth M. Hall,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2062-3312)^d **@**[Mehrad Hamidian](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3614-7261)^b

aDepartment of Infectious Diseases, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia

bAustralian Institute for Microbiology and Infection, University of Technology Sydney, New South Wales, Australia cDepartment of Infection Biology, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom dSchool of Life and Environmental Sciences, The University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

Margaret M. C. Lam and Jonathan Koong contributed equally to this article. Author order was decided by agreement between relevant authors. Ruth M. Hall and Mehrad Hamidian contributed equally to this article.

ABSTRACT Plasmids found in Acinetobacter species contribute to the spread of antibiotic resistance genes. They appear to be largely confined to this genus and cannot be typed with available tools and databases. Here, a method for distinguishing and typing these plasmids was developed using a curated, non-redundant set of 621 complete sequences of plasmids from Acinetobacter baumannii. Plasmids were separated into 3 groups based on the Pfam domains of the encoded replication initiation (Rep) protein and a fourth group that lack an identifiable Rep protein. The rep genes of each Repencoding group ($n = 13$ Rep_1, $n = 107$ RepPriCT_1, $n = 351$ Rep_3) were then clustered using a threshold of >95% nucleotide identity to define 80 distinct types. Five Rep_1 subgroups, designated R1_T1 to R1-T5, were identified and a sixth reported recently was added. Each R1 type corresponded to a conserved small plasmid sequence. The RepPriCT_1 plasmids fell into 5 subgroups, designated RP-T1 to RP-T5 and the Rep_3 plasmids comprised 69 distinct types (R3-T1 to R3-T69). Three R1, 2 RP and 32 R3 types are represented by only a single plasmid. Over half of the plasmids belong to the 4 most abundant types: the RP-T1 plasmids ($n = 97$), which include conjugation genes and are often associated with various acquired antibiotic resistance genes, and R3-T1, R3-T2 and R3-T3 ($n = 95$, 30 and 45, respectively). To facilitate typing and the identification of plasmids in draft genomes using this framework, we established the Acinetobacter Typing database containing representative nucleotide and protein sequences of the type markers [\(https://github.com/MehradHamidian/AcinetobacterPlasmidTyping\)](https://github.com/MehradHamidian/AcinetobacterPlasmidTyping).

IMPORTANCE Though they contribute to the dissemination of genes that confer resistance to clinically important carbapenem and aminoglycoside antibiotics used to treat life-threatening Acinetobacter baumannii infections, plasmids found in Acinetobacter species have not been well studied. As these plasmids do not resemble those found in other Gram-negative pathogens, available typing systems are unsuitable. The plasmid typing system developed for A. baumannii plasmids with an identifiable rep gene will facilitate the classification and tracking of sequenced plasmids. It will also enable the detection of plasmid-derived contigs present in draft genomes that are widely ignored currently. Hence, it will assist in the tracking of resistance genes and other genes that affect survival in the environment, as they spread through the population. As identical or similar plasmids have been found in other Acinetobacter species, the typing system will also be broadly applicable in identifying plasmids in other members of the genus.

KEYWORDS Acinetobacter baumannii, plasmid, replication initiation gene, Rep protein, Rep_3, Rep_1 and RepPriCT_1

Editor Swaine L. Chen, The National University of Singapore and the Genome Institute of Singapore

Copyright © 2022 Lam et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Address correspondence to Mehrad Hamidian, merhad.hamidian@gmail.com.

The authors declare no conflict of interest. Received 26 August 2022

Accepted 9 November 2022

The plasmids found in Acinetobacter species clearly differ from the better studied and understood plasmids found in the majority of Gram-negative species and covered by the PlasmidFinder database [\(1\)](#page-14-0). Indeed, the plasmids found in other Gram-negative species (especially Enterobacterales) do not appear to be stably maintained in Acinetobacter species and Acinetobacter plasmids are not seen in other Gram-negative pathogens. Hence, a typing and classification scheme relevant to Acinetobacter plasmids is needed.

In 2010, sequences were available for very few Acinetobacter plasmids, and they were mainly derived from the modest number of complete genomes of A. baumannii isolates that had been published at that time. At this point, an analysis aimed at generating a PCR detection and typing scheme based on rep genes encoding replication initiation (Rep) proteins was published ([2\)](#page-14-1). Fifteen complete plasmid sequences, 12 of them derived from 5 available complete genomes, together with 8 partial sequences (5 determined in the study) were analyzed. Four plasmids were identical or had identical or very closely related rep genes, and 2 plasmids included 2, and another has 3 rep genes. Hence, a total of 24 different rep gene sequences were examined. The majority encoded Rep proteins belonging to Pfam01051 corresponding to the Rep_3 family. The Rep proteins of 2 plasmids belonged to the Rep_1 family (Pfam01446), and 1 plasmid encoded a protein with a Rep motif (Pfam03090). As the method developed used PCR to detect the rep genes, a cutoff 74% nucleotide identity in the rep gene sequence was used to group the rep genes and ensure specificity of the primers. Nineteen groups (GR1-GR19) were proposed. However, some of these groups encompassed two clearly distinct rep gene types and a secondary classification assigned Aci numbers up to 10 to some of the Rep proteins, e.g., the replicases associated with the 2 distinct groups in GR2 were designated Aci1 and Aci2 ([2](#page-14-1)).

Using the PCR approach, an analysis of 96 multiply antibiotic resistant isolates, mainly from Europe, by the same group found that repAci1 (GR2) and repAci6 (GR6) were the most widely distributed ([3](#page-14-2)). However, as the cost of genome sequencing fell, over the next few years many more complete or draft genomes became available and PCR typing was never extensively used. Consequently, such broad groups including members with rep genes that differ by up to 26% nucleotide identity are no longer necessary or practical. Moreover, in the absence of either a centralized database or a clear revision of the rules for grouping, assignment of additional groups has been problematic. For example, the GR20 designation has been used for at least 3 different types ([4](#page-15-0)[–](#page-15-1)[6\)](#page-15-2). In other cases, examples of new types have been identified but GR numbers were not assigned (e.g ([7](#page-15-3), [8\)](#page-15-4).

In 2017, a review examined only the small $(< 10$ kbp) Acinetobacter plasmids and, based on a phylogeny of the Rep_3 proteins, separated plasmids encoding the distinct Aci1 and Aci2 types of the GR2 group with the Aci2 type becoming GR20 [\(5\)](#page-15-1). Though this separation breaches the 74% rule as these rep genes are close to 80% identical, it appears to have prevailed in more recent studies (see below). However, the distinct subtypes found in other GR were not separated and additional groups were not identified. The number of groups was expanded to 23 in a 2017 study that compared 3 plasmids from a single A. baumannii isolate from Argentina to a database of 122 Rep proteins found in A. baumannii plasmids ([9](#page-15-5)). A cutoff 85% protein identity was used to split GR8 into 2 groups, GR8 and GR23, and 2 further GR (GR21 and GR22) were reported [\(9\)](#page-15-5). In 2020, a comprehensive analysis of complete plasmids from A. baumannii available in 2016 added several further GR up to GR33 using a cutoff $> 74\%$ nucleotide identity ([10\)](#page-15-6). Finally, in 2021, GR34 was assigned, again based on the original cutoff $>$ 74% DNA identity ([11\)](#page-15-7).

A shortcoming of the GR scheme for rep genes arises from the fact that a number of plasmid types found in A. baumannii and in other Acinetobacter species do not include an identifiable rep gene and some of these are common $(12-14)$ $(12-14)$ $(12-14)$ $(12-14)$. To overcome this, a few further studies have attempted to address the issue of Acinetobacter plasmid classification using various different data sets (new sequences and published sequences)

and different approaches. Salto and coworkers ([15\)](#page-15-11) looked at replication, mobilization and conjugative transfer genes in a group of plasmids from various Acinetobacter species. They also identified 16 groups of Rep_3 proteins that were designated AR3G1– AR3G16 from plasmids recovered from various species but the numbering of these AR3 groups does not correspond to the group numbers used by Bertini et al. [\(2\)](#page-14-1) and a key was not provided. In 2020, Mindlin and coworkers [\(14\)](#page-15-10) published a study of plasmids isolated from Acinetobacter lwoffii isolates recovered from permafrost, where they used a classification system for plasmids from any Acinetobacter species based on size, then whether a rep or a mob gene, both or neither was present. They used the Salto et al. groupings for the Rep_3 proteins and a cutoff 95% protein identity for clustering.

The study of Salgardo Camargo and coworkers [\(10\)](#page-15-6) examined 18 new sequences and 145 A. baumannii plasmids for which complete sequences were available in 2016 and grouped them using an approach that attempted to classify the whole plasmids into lineages without accounting for the many known accessory regions that should be removed to reveal the plasmid backbone. Hence, their approach is confounded by the extent of the variation caused by the acquisition and loss of significant portions of plasmids with closely related backbones, as occurs for example in the small to medium sized Rep_3 plasmids that carry acquired dif modules where the size of the dif module and other accessory content can exceed that of the backbone (e.g., [\(7,](#page-15-3) [16](#page-15-12)[–](#page-15-13)[18\)](#page-15-14). They retained the $> 74\%$ nucleotide identity in rep genes cutoff used by Bertini and coworkers ([2](#page-14-1)) and removed GR23 but kept GR20. They added 10 GR bringing the total to 33 GR. Nine of the additional plasmid types encoded Rep, Rep_1 and Rep_3 replication initiation proteins; the tenth, represented by a single plasmid, encoded a protein with a RepC motif that is not involved in replication of the plasmid (see below). A phylogeny of the Rep_3 proteins revealed distinct subgroups within some GR, as was found previously ([2](#page-14-1)).

Here, we have analyzed the plasmids from A. baumannii with a complete sequence available in the GenBank nucleotide database as of February 2021 in order to develop a unified system that will allow plasmids to be typed simply and rapidly and to facilitate identification of plasmids in draft genome sequences. To reduce the plasmid data set to a manageable size, only A. baumannii plasmids were included as these are the most important from a clinical perspective and, as a first step, only those encoding a Rep protein have been typed. The most appropriate criteria for defining plasmid groups based on rep genes was re-assessed in the light of the fact that PCR is no longer the main source of information about the types of plasmids carried by A. baumannii isolates. A new typing and numbering system was developed but for ease of comparison, the earlier GR designations are indicated, where relevant. An online resource that includes a database of representative rep gene and Rep protein sequences was developed and has been made available via GitHub.

RESULTS

Curation of the plasmid database. All complete sequences for A. baumannii associated plasmids were downloaded (February 2021) and, after removal of redundancies, further curation removed additional plasmids from the data set (see Materials and Methods and reasons listed in Table S1). Of particular note, sequences that were previously classified as lineage 10 [\(10](#page-15-6)) and assigned to GR3 were removed as they have been shown to represent a circular form of the AbGRI3 resistance island that has failed to assemble to the correct location on the chromosome [\(19\)](#page-15-15). The segment includes only an incomplete and inactive rep gene (see [[20](#page-15-16)] for details). The final data set comprised 621 plasmids, 539 of them derived from genome sequencing projects. A small number of partial sequences reported by Bertini et al. [\(2\)](#page-14-1) were also included in the analysis to facilitate correlation with the typing scheme devised by those authors but were not included in plasmid counts.

Detection of rep genes. A comprehensive approach to detection of rep genes found in the plasmids in the data set (see Materials and Methods) included curation to remove genes incorrectly annotated as rep genes (described in more detail below). A total of 142

TABLE 1 Summary of plasmid sequence data studied

Plasmids	No.
Complete plasmids	621
Part of Genomes	539
Not part of a genome project	82
No Rep	142
With Rep^a	479
Plasmids encoding Rep 3	359
Plasmids encoding Rep 1	13
Plasmids encoding RepPriCT_1	107
Total plasmids	479

^a457, 19, and 2 plasmids encode 1, 2, and 3 Reps, respectively.

plasmids (23%) did not encode an identifiable Rep protein. Many of the plasmids in this group were related to known plasmids, including $n = 27$, 7 and 20 identical to the well characterized, small plasmids pRAY* [\(13](#page-15-9)), pD36-1 [\(17](#page-15-13)), pA85-1b [\(21](#page-15-17)). Thirty one had backbones related to the backbones of large conjugative plasmids where a rep gene of a novel, as yet unidentified, type may be present. Among these, $n = 20$ were related to pAB3 and pA297-3 [\(12](#page-15-8)), 8 related to pNDMBJ01 [\(22\)](#page-15-18) and 3 related to pALWED1.1 ([23\)](#page-15-19). Investigation of the RepC protein encoded only by the plasmid pAB3 that was used to define GR33 [\(10](#page-15-6)), revealed that it is not found in known relatives with closely related backbones such as pA297-3 ([12\)](#page-15-8) and it was traced to the genomic island GIsul2 [\(24](#page-15-20)) which is found in pAB3 but not in its close relatives. As the related plasmids do not include an identifiable rep gene, pAB3 was included in the no rep plasmid group. This highlights the importance of careful curation to identify problems that arise when bioinformatic approaches are used without reference to underlying knowledge of which regions are plasmid backbone and which are accessory. The remaining $(n = 46)$ plasmids with no identifiable rep gene were not further examined in this study.

At least one rep gene was identified in 479 plasmids (77%) [\(Table 1\)](#page-3-0). However, 19 plasmids included 2 rep genes and 2 included 3 rep ([Table 1\)](#page-3-0), yielding in total 502 rep genes. The product of each rep gene was screened for Pfam domains associated with replication initiation (Rep) proteins (see Materials and Methods).

Classification of plasmids carrying rep genes. To simplify classification, we have used the Pfam of the replication initiation protein encoded by each rep gene for initial grouping of the plasmids, as this can be obtained readily. Plasmids encoding a Rep_1 family (Pfam01446) replicase were least abundant with only 13 plasmids in this group ([Table 1\)](#page-3-0). The Rep_3 (Pfam01051) group predominated with 359 plasmids and all the plasmids carrying multiple rep genes fell into this category. The Rep (Pfam03090) group that encode replicases that also include a PriCT_1 (Pfam08708) motif, here referred to as the RepPriCT_1 group, included 107 plasmids. The distinct types within each Pfam group were then defined by clustering the rep nucleotide sequences (see Materials and Methods), using a cutoff 95% nucleotide identity as this appeared to best separate the clearly distinct types without recording minor variations in DNA sequence. However, for most types identified using this approach, all represented rep genes were > 99% identical.

A total of 80 types were identified using this approach: 6 Rep_1 types, 69 Rep_3 types and 5 RepPriCT_1 types. The plasmid types identified in this way have been prefaced R1, R3, and RP for the Rep_1, Rep_3 and RepPriCT_1 groups, respectively, followed by an assigned number, generally in the order of identification or the relative abundance of the type. To facilitate comparison to earlier studies, where relevant the GR number is indicated in Tables.

The small Rep 1 plasmids. In the original plasmid classification [\(2](#page-14-1)), one plasmid, p4ABAYE (GR14) and a partial sequence from pAB49 (GR16) encoded a Rep protein belonging to the Rep_1 family (Pfam01446). The complete sequence of pAB49 is now available (GenBank accession number [L77992](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/L77992).1) and, though it was not detected in our initial search for complete plasmids, was added to the complete plasmid database.

 a GR14 in ([2](#page-14-1)).

 b GR16 sequence was reported as partial in [\(2\)](#page-14-1) but completed later in 2016.

c Not known.

dLength difference is likely due to an assembly issue.

Only 11 additional Rep_1 plasmids were found among the 621 plasmids examined here. Of these, the complete sequences of 7 were identical to either p4ABAYE or pAB49 and these groups were designated R1-T1 and R1-T2, respectively [\(Table 2\)](#page-4-0). Within each type, very little variation in the sequences was observed indicating that these plasmids are well conserved. These types were also widely distributed as they were recovered in various countries [\(Table 2](#page-4-0)). Three additional types (R1-T3 to R1-T5), each represented by only 1 or 2 examples, were detected. An additional Rep_1 plasmid type was reported recently [\(25](#page-15-21)) and has been added to [Table 2](#page-4-0) as R1-T6.

Plasmids with a Rep_1 replication initiation protein are generally small and replicate using a rolling circle mechanism. The Rep_1 plasmids identified here were all less than 3 kbp in length and do not include any antibiotic resistance genes. Most were found in completed genome sequences. However, due to their small size, they may be missed in genomes derived using long read sequencing ([26\)](#page-15-22). The relationship between the sequences of the Rep proteins encoded by each type is shown in [Table 3](#page-4-1). There appear to be 2 distinct groups based on alignments with significant levels of identity and > 75% coverage, namely T1 and T5 in one group and T2, T3, T4, and T6 in the other. The structures of one plasmid of each type in the R1 group are shown in [Fig. 1.](#page-5-0)

The RepPriCT_1 family plasmids. In the original classification, a single plasmid pACICU2, carried a gene encoding a Rep protein belonging to the Rep and PriCT_1 families. The plasmid type was GR6 and the Rep protein was designated Aci6 ([2](#page-14-1)). Plasmids of this type have been implicated in the dissemination of the oxa23 gene (carbapenem resistance) and the aphA6 gene (amikacin resistance). They include a complete set of genes for conjugation, and some have been shown to be conjugative ([27](#page-15-23)[–](#page-15-24)[29](#page-15-25)). Among the 107 complete RepPriCT_1 plasmids analyzed here, the majority ($n = 97$) were repAci6 plasmids, here designated RP-T1. However only 10 representatives are listed in [Table 4](#page-6-0) selected to include well characterized examples and to illustrate the global distribution of plasmids of this type. A complete list can be found in Table S2. Where it has been

TABLE 3 Pairwise protein identities of representative Rep_1 type Rep proteins^a

Type	P ₁ -T ₁	P1-T2	P1-T3	P1-T4	P1-T5	P1-T6
$P1-T1$	100	25.2(27)	28.2(31)	$-b$	73.4 (82)	26.68(36)
$P1-T2$		100	63.7 (89)	44.4 (82)	22.7(28)	73.28 (100)
$P1-T3$			100	45.2 (76)	26.7(30)	65.63 (90)
$P1-T4$				100		44.58 (82)
P1-T5					100	24.8 (28)
P1-T6						100

^aNumbers in bracket indicate % coverage.

bNo significant matches.

FIG 1 Comparison of representatives of plasmids encoding Rep_1 family (Pfam01446) Rep proteins. Black horizontal arrows show indicate rep genes. White arrows encode hypothetical proteins. Regions with significant DNA identities are shown using shades of gray with % identities also labeled in red. p4ABAYE (GenBank accession number [CU459139\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CU459139), pA85-1a (GenBank accession number [CP021784\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP021784), pAb-D10a-a_5 (GenBank accession number [CP051874\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP051874), p3AB5075 (GenBank accession number [CP008709](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP008709)), pTS236 (GenBank accession number [JN872565](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/JN872565)), and pMRSN56-1 (GenBank accession number [CP080453\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP080453) represent R1-T1, R1-T2, R1-T3, R1-T4, R1-T5, and R1-T6, respectively.

examined, these plasmids share a, though not completely identical, backbone that is often interrupted by transposons encoding either antibiotic resistance genes or heavy metal resistance genes ([28](#page-15-24)–[31](#page-15-26)). Hence, they are one of the most important plasmid types implicated in introducing further antibiotic resistance genes into A. baumannii isolates.

A group of 7 plasmids were close relatives of pABTJ1 [\(32](#page-15-27)) and this type, here designated RP-T2, corresponds to GR25. The backbone of plasmid pABTJ1 has been shown to include a set of genes that encode proteins involved in conjugation that are related to those encoded by RP-T1 (Aci6) plasmids ([33](#page-15-28)). Another plasmid of this type also carrying the carbapenem resistance transposon Tn2009 has been shown to be conjugative [\(6\)](#page-15-2). These plasmids are so far confined to Asia ([Table 4](#page-6-0)). Three further types, RP-T3 to RP-T5 were each detected in only 1 or 2 plasmids [\(Table 4](#page-6-0)) with RP-T3 corresponding to GR32, and no reports describing them were found.

The relationships between the Rep proteins encoded by the types in this group are shown in [Table 5](#page-6-1). This comparison revealed 2 broad subgroups, with RP-T1 Rep grouping with RP-T2, and RP-T4 grouping with RP-T5 while RP-T3 was more distantly related to the other types. RP-T4 and RP-T5 plasmids are substantially smaller than the conjugative RP-T1 and RP-T2 plasmids.

Identification of the rep gene in Rep_3 plasmids. There has been significant confusion in the literature and in the annotations of plasmid sequences in GenBank with respect to the identification and naming of the rep gene in many of the plasmids in this category. The confusion applies particularly to plasmids with the configuration shown in [Fig. 2](#page-7-0), and complicated the identification of rep genes for inclusion in the rep gene database. The confusion appears to have arisen early when the rep gene was designated repB and the adjacent downstream gene, which we have labeled orfX in [Fig. 2](#page-7-0) as its func-tion is currently unknown, was called repA [\(34](#page-15-29)). In fact, a published study had previously demonstrated that only a single gene (the one designated repB) and upstream iterons are essential for replication of pMAC (p2ATCC19606), and the rep gene, which encodes the Aci9 replicase [\(2\)](#page-14-1), was designated repM [\(35](#page-15-30)). The presence of orfX, which encodes a helix-turn-helix domain protein, in the plasmid set used by Bertini and coworkers [\(2](#page-14-1)) is also shown in [Table 6.](#page-8-0) Currently, the downstream orfX gene continues to be

^a94 total plasmids including pAbG7-2, pA85-3, pD46-3, pS32-2 have a Rep protein greater than 99% identical to Rep encoded by pACICU2. Ten representatives are shown, and a complete list is in Supplementary Table S2. This type corresponds to GR6.

bShown to conjugate [\(16](#page-15-12), [27](#page-15-23)-[29](#page-15-25)).

^cA second plasmid pCS01B is identical to pCS01A but the rep gene in incomplete. Entry does not include annotations and hence no protein id.

dpABTJ1 is grouped as GR25 in [\(10\)](#page-15-6). ^eNot known.

f Shown to be conjugative ([6\)](#page-15-2). As for pABTJ1, it carries Tn2009 (containing oxa23).

^gpKBN10P02143 is designated as GR32 [\(10](#page-15-6)).

misidentified in many publications and GenBank entries as the rep gene, and manual curation (see Materials and Methods) was used to ensure that these genes were not included in our databases.

Overview of the Rep_3 group. Rep_3 plasmids were the most abundant in this collection and constitute the most diverse group. Classification of the 382 rep genes encoding Rep_3 replication initiation proteins using the cutoff > 95% nucleotide identity for the rep gene revealed 69 distinct types ([Table 7\)](#page-9-0). A complete list of the members of each type is found in Table S3. However, only 8 types (T1-T8) included 10 or more members (accounting for 63% of rep genes encoding a Rep_3 type protein) and the majority of types are represented by only 1 to 4 members. When only representatives in the Bertini rep gene set are considered but a 95% nucleotide identity cutoff is used more than half of the rep genes in the larger Rep_3 plasmid set could be classified (last column in [Table 6](#page-8-0)). This is largely due to the predominance of R3-T1 (95 of 382 total) and R3-T2 (30 of 382) plasmids both of which were originally included in GR2 and encode, respectively, an Aci1 or Aci2 replication initiation protein. However, in the larger analysis, the R3-T3 (GR24) group, which is exemplified by pABTJ2 [\(36\)](#page-15-31) is also abundant, including 45 members.

In some cases, recombination has occurred between related types generating hybrid

^aNumbers in bracket indicate % coverage.

FIG 2 Schematic comparison of Rep_3 family (Pfam01051) plasmid structures. Horizontal arrows show the length and orientation of genes with rep genes colored black, resistance genes red, toxin/anti-toxins yellow and mobilization genes blue. Green boxes indicate insertion sequences with their transposase shown inside the box. Small thick vertical bar marked with "i" indicate iterons. Other vertical bards marked with "C/D or D/C" indicate the location of pdif sites. Regions with significant DNA identities are shown using shades of gray with % identities also shown using red numbers. Dotted lines draw the show the boundaries of pdif modules. (A) represents variations within five R3-T1 plasmids (p2ABAYE, pA1-1/pAB0055, pAB-NCGM253, and pD36-3 with GenBank accession numbers [CU459138](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CU459138), [CP010782](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP010782)/ [CP001183,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP001183) [AB823544,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AB823544) and [CP012955](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP012955), respectively) that carry different pdif modules. (B) compares two R3-T1 plasmids pABVA01 and pD72-1 (GenBank accession numbers [FM210331.1](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/FM210331) and [KM051986](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/KM051986), respectively) with pA1-1 (GenBank accession number [CP010782\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP010782) representing R3-T1. (C) represents the structure of plasmids representing R3-T12 (p1ATCC19606; GenBank accession number [CP045108](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP045108)), R3-T4 (pMAC; [AY541809\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AY541809) and R3-T11 (pVB473_1; GenBank accession number [CP050389\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP050389) with no significant DNA identity.

rep genes that complicate classification. Our detailed examination of the rep genes of a number of plasmids included in the same R3 type but that have <99% identity overall to the type representative revealed that they consisted of 2 or more segments, the largest segment with very high identity to the representative for the assigned type and the remainder closely matching another type representative. One example is the rep genes of pAB02 and pABIR from the original GR12 group where the pABIR rep differs from the rep in the remaining members of the group with differences clustered at the $3'$ -end. A

TABLE 6 Properties of plasmids encoding Rep_3 family proteins described in Bertini et al., 2010

^ap203 is incomplete however another plasmid with an identical RepAci3 (pD1279779; GenBank no. [CP003968](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP003968)) includes orfX and C/D sites downstream of the Rep. ^bp844 is incomplete, (the RepAci4 plasmid pPM194122_2; GenBank no. [CP050427](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP050427)) includes orfX and C/D sites downstream of the Rep.

c p537 is incomplete but plasmid II of the strain R2091 (GenBank no. [LN997847\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/LN997847) with 98.6% DNA identity (compared to repAci5 of p537) was analyzed.

^dpAb736 is incomplete however, the closet plasmid is pRCH52-1 (GenBank no. [KT346360](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/KT346360)), repAci7 and is 95% DNA identical to that of pRCH52-1.

^eGiven that p11921 is incomplete the presence of orfX and its downstream C/D sites were investigated in pAb825_36 (GenBank no. [MG100202\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MG100202), which encodes a Rep identical to p11921. pAb825_36 has iteron sequences upstream of its two rep genes.

f Imperfect iterons (1 to 3 bp differences).

further example is the repAci1/repAci2 hybrid found in pABVA01 ([Fig. 2B](#page-7-0)), which encodes the Aci2 exemplar in the original classification. The phylogeny of the Rep proteins shown in [Fig. 3](#page-11-0) illustrates how some types, for example R3-T21 and R3-T25 or R3-T2 and R3-T12, would amalgamate if the cutoff used were reduced to 90% protein sequence identity.

Plasmids encoding a Rep_3 family replication initiation protein are usually associated with iterons and use a theta replication mode. Indeed, iterons were identified in most of the Rep_3 encoding plasmids identified in the original classification (see [Table 6](#page-8-0)). However, the presence of iterons was not systematically examined here.

GR2 includes the R3-T1, R3-T2 and R3-T12 groups. An examination of the relationships between members of the R3-T1, R3-T2 and R3-T12 groups, which would all be included in the original GR2 group [\(2](#page-14-1)) provides insight into the reasons for increasing the cutoff to 95% identity. Most R3-T1 plasmids (encoding the Aci1 Rep protein) are found in multiply, extensively and pan resistant isolates belonging to global clones GC1 and GC2 which dominate the total genome sequences available currently. Indeed, the plasmid pA1-1 (GenBank accession number [CP010782](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP010782); [[37\]](#page-15-32)), which is found in the oldest GC1 isolate (1982) for which sequence data is available, is identical to pAB0057 (2004), indicating a long association of the plasmid with the clone. Many plasmids identical or nearly identical to pAB0057/pA1-1 were found among available complete sequences in a previous analysis ([16\)](#page-15-12). About half of the members of the R3-T1 group reported here are identical or nearly identical to pA1-1 with differences in recorded length generally due to failure to trim the overlap from linear contigs. The pAB0057/ pA1-1 plasmid consists of a backbone and 2 dif modules ([Fig. 2A\)](#page-7-0), though this was not initially recognized. Indeed, further R3-T1 plasmids carrying the same repAci1 gene have the same backbone but a different dif module in the first position or have a

TABLE 7 Rep_3 family types

Downloaded from https://journals.asm.org/journal/spectrum on 03 January 2023 by 49.181.137.232. Downloaded from https://journals.asm.org/journal/spectrum on 03 January 2023 by 49.181.137.232.

(Continued on next page)

TABLE 7 (Continued)

^aPlasmids used in Bertini et al., 2010.

^bGroups assigned by Bertini et al., 2010 (GR1-GR19), Lean (GR20), Cammeranesi et al., 2020 (GR21-GR23), Salgardo Camargo (GR24-GR33) and Liu et al., 2020 (GR34). Brackets indicate types falling within the GR guidelines at 74% rep gene identity.

c Bertini et al., 2010 included four Aci1 plasmids pAB2, pACICU1, p2ABAYE and pAB0057. pA1-1 included as the oldest known example.

^dPlasmid pD72-1 replaces pABV01 used by Bertini et al., 2010, which is now known to be a hybrid of the majority of Aci1 and Aci2 sequences.

^ePartial sequence only in Bertini et al., 2010 (p844; [GU978998\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/GU978998).

f Recorded as GR4 in Cammeranesi et al., 2020 and is 90.79% identical to the GR4 reference.

^gUnnamed plasmids named here. Full strain names are p1Res13-Abat-PEA21-P4-01-A and p2Res13-Abat-PEA21-P4-01-A.

hRepresentative rep is in a partial sequence only (p537; [GU978999\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/GU978999) in Bertini et al., 2010.

i rep of pAb242_25 (encoding [AUO31913.1](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/AUO31913.1)) is identical to a partial sequence (p11921; [GU979000](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/GU979000)) corresponding to Aci8 in GR8 in Bertini. et al., 2010. Also assigned Aci23 in Cammeranesi et al., 2020.

j pRCH52-1 rep is 95.16% identical to repAci7 (p11921; [GU978996\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/GU978996) from a partial sequence. No complete plasmids with identical rep genes were detected. ^kNot available; sequence file not annotated.

l No complete plasmids correspond to a rep in a partial of p135040 ([GQ861437\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/GQ861437) in Bertini. et al., 2010.

different set of dif modules, e.g., p2ABAYE and pD36-3 ([Fig. 2A](#page-7-0)). This lineage was also among the most abundant identified by others ([10\)](#page-15-6).

The rep gene encoding RepAci2 found in the R3-T2 type, also originally classified as GR2, is only approximately 80% identical to RepAci1 and was re-assigned to GR20 ([5\)](#page-15-1). However, here a third clearly distinct rep type (92.7% identity to repAci2) that would fall into the original GR2 group was found in p1ATCC19606 [\(38\)](#page-15-33). This type was designated R3-T12. The close relationship between these 3 types can be seen in the phylogeny of the R3 Rep proteins ([Fig. 3](#page-11-0)).

Acinetobacter Plasmid Typing database. To enable others to detect the presence of the Acinetobacter plasmid rep types defined here, we have made available databases comprising reference nucleotide sequences in GitHub ([https://github.com/MehradHa](https://github.com/MehradHamidian/AcinetobacterPlasmidTyping) [midian/AcinetobacterPlasmidTyping\)](https://github.com/MehradHamidian/AcinetobacterPlasmidTyping). The databases comprise simple multi-fasta files that include a representative sequence for each rep type with information on the type plasmid (name and GenBank accession number) provided in the header. These files can be used to screen genome assemblies using BLASTN (run locally or using web-based services to screen read sets), or SRST2 ([39\)](#page-15-34) [\(https://github.com/katholt/srst2\)](https://github.com/katholt/srst2) using a threshold of 95% nucleotide identity to match the threshold used to define rep types. The databases can also be used to screen sequencing reads for rep types, facilitating the detection of plasmid-derived contigs in short read assemblies for which no tool is currently available for Acinetobacter.

Use of Acinetobacter plasmid typing database for read-based analysis. To demonstrate the use of the databases for detection of plasmids in genome data, short read data sets used previously in an analysis of a collection of 41 GC1 isolates ([40](#page-15-35)) were examined (see Materials and Methods). Analysis of the read data for the 36 isolates for which complete genomes were not available revealed that all but one of the isolates in the collection included a detectable plasmid rep gene [\(Table 8\)](#page-13-0). Plasmids present in available complete genomes of 5 isolates (strain name shown in bold in [Table 8\)](#page-13-0) are also listed.

An R3-T1 plasmid was detected in most isolates, including all five with a completed genome. Inspection of the relevant contigs revealed that in all isolates belonging to GC1 lineage 1, this plasmid was identical or nearly identical to pAB0057/pA1-1. However, in the ST81 group in lineage 2 (isolates D36, 6013113 and 6013150) the R3-T1 plasmid matched pD36-3 which includes different dif modules. Plasmid types R3-T25 and R3-T63

FIG 3 Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic relationship of replication initiation Rep sequences from the Rep_3 family. The tree comprises amino acid sequences encoded by $n = 125$ unique nucleotide sequence variants from the Rep_3 (Continued on next page)

were also found in ST81 isolates 6013113 and 6013150 consistent with their presence in the complete genome of D36, as reported previously ([17\)](#page-15-13).

A R3-T19 (Aci10) type plasmid was detected in isolates D78 and D81 together with an RP-T1 (Aci6) plasmid. The RP-T1 plasmids were previously reported to be present and identical to pAb-G7-2 ([40\)](#page-15-35), and this was verified using a complete genome assembly of D78 (C. J. Harmer and R. M. Hall, unpublished). The R3-T19 plasmid in D78 was found to include the oxa58 carbapenem resistance gene. Similarly, the presence of an R3-T14 type in the related isolates D13 and D15 was confirmed using a complete genome assembly for D13 (C. J. Harmer and R.M. Hall, unpublished). However, the complete D13 genome assembly included a further Rep_3 plasmid that was of a type not present in the current database, indicating that updates to the plasmid typing scheme will be needed in the future.

DISCUSSION

The analyses described here enabled development of a simple classification scheme which covers the plasmids found in A. baumannii that include a rep gene encoding an identifiable replication initiation or Rep protein. The cutoff 95% nucleotide identity was chosen because it is readily able to accommodate minor sequence difference arising from evolution or from sequencing errors and also facilitates grouping of hybrid genes with the one type from which the majority of its sequence has been derived. The effect of raising the cutoff is clear in [Fig. 3](#page-11-0) where groups of 3 coherent types can be found within the former GR2 (R3-T1, R3-T2 and R3-T12) and within the former GR12 (R3-T6, R3-T8 and R3-T10).

The simple strategy applied here provides a framework for the research community to classify A. baumannii plasmids as the simple rules will allow new plasmid types to be added to the scheme as they are discovered. Ultimately, this may include some of the known large conjugative plasmid families which likely encode a Rep protein of a type that has not yet been identified and verified experimentally and hence has not been assigned a Pfam. Once a potential rep gene is identified, these can be simply added into the scheme. This scheme should also be applicable to plasmids found in other Acinetobacter species as plasmid sharing is known to occur ([14\)](#page-15-10).

Because there is little variation between the rep genes in members of individual types in the R1 and RP groups and significant variation between the rep gene associated with each type, identification of additional types should be straightforward. Indeed, complete sequence of plasmids belonging to each R1 type have so far been identical. In the case of the RP-T1 and RP-T2 groups, members share very closely related backbones. However, in the case of the more diverse R3 group, the effect of recombination between moderately closely related plasmids may lead to complications in the future. One prime example is the hybrid rep genes that arise from recombination of 2 or more types potentially leading to formation of rep types that do not fit neatly into an existing category. Indeed, some examples of hybrids were identified here among plasmids with backbones of the iteron-rep-orfX configuration that include dif modules. However, a more detailed analysis of the more diverged members of the R3 types that include plasmids with rep genes that are less than 99% identical to the type representative will be needed to further explore these relationships. For this group, an improvement in the accuracy of annotation of rep genes is clearly needed. However, we note that in the RefSeq version of these plasmid sequences, the validated rep gene is annotated as repM, following Dorsey et al. ([35\)](#page-15-30) nomenclature, and orfX is

FIG 3 Legend (Continued)

family generated in IQ-TREE. The shading show either sequence variants assigned to the same Rep3 family (unlabeled) or those detected in at least $n = 5$ plasmids (labeled). Columns are as follows: Rep types assigned based on a threshold of 95% nucleotide identity (those in light gray correspond to unique Rep types with a single nucleotide variant), clusters of Rep sequence variants grouped at 95% and 90% identity assigned with CD-HIT. The number of plasmids in which each Rep-encoding sequence variant was detected is shown on the right-hand side.

			,,				
Genomes	Date	Country	ST	GenBank no. ^b	$R3-T$	RP-T	$R1-T$
A1 ^a	1982	UK	1	CP010781-2	T1 ^c	\overline{a}	
$A388^a$	2002	Greece	1	CP024418-9	T1, T19 ^d	$\overline{}$	\overline{a}
AB0057 ^a	2004	USA	1	CP001182-3	T1 ^e	L,	
A85 ^a	2003	Australia	1	CP021782-7	$T1^f$	T1	T1, T2
A297	1984	Netherlands	1	FBWR	T1	$\overline{}$	
J1	1995	Australia	1	FBWQ	T1	T1	$\overline{}$
J ₅	1997	Australia	1	FBWP	T1	T1	
WM98	1998	Australia	1	UCPN	T1	\overline{a}	
J7	1998	Australia	1	FBWT	T1		
J10	1999	Australia	1	FBWS	T1, T2		
D3208	1997	Australia	1	FBWZ	T1		
D ₂	2006	Australia	1	FBWY	T1, T15		
D62	2010	Australia	1	FBWW	T1		
D30	2008	Australia	1	FBXG	T1		
A83	2002	Australia	1	FBWU	T1		
A92	2005	Australia	1	FBWV	T1		$\overline{}$
6772166	2002	Australia	1	FBWX	T1		T ₂
RBH3	2002	Australia	1	FBXD	T1	\overline{a}	T ₂
D13	2009	Australia	1	FBXI	T14	\overline{a}	\overline{a}
D15	2009	Australia	1	FBXJ	T14	\overline{a}	
G7	2003	Australia	1	FBXF	T1	T1	
D81	2010	Australia	1	FBXC	T19	T1	
D78	2010	Australia	1	FBXH	T19	T1	
Naval-83	2006	USA	20	AMFK	\overline{a}	T1	
AB058	2003	USA	20	ADHA	T1, T8	\overline{a}	
NIPH 527	1984	Netherlands	1	APQW	T1		\overline{a}
NIPH 290	1994	Netherlands	1	APRD	T ₉		\overline{a}
AB056	2004	USA	1	ADGZ	T1		T ₂
AB059	2004	USA	1	ADHB	T1	T1	\overline{a}
908-13	2007	USA	1	AMHW	$\overline{}$	\overline{a}	
909-02-7	2007	USA	1	AMHZ	T1	\overline{a}	٠
Canada-BC1	2007	Canada	1	AMSZ	$\overline{}$		\overline{a}
Canada-BC5	2007	Canada	1	AFDN	T1	\overline{a}	\overline{a}
$IS-58$	2008	nr	1	AMGH	\overline{a}	T1	$\overline{}$
ANC 4097	2011	nr	1	APRF	T1, T12, T57	T1	T ₄
$D36^a$	2008	Australia	81	CP012952-6	T1, T25, T63 ⁹	\overline{a}	\overline{a}
6013113	2007	UK	81	ACYR	T25, T63	\overline{a}	٠
6013150	2007	UK	81	ACYQ	T1, T4, T25, T63	$\overline{}$	
OIFC074	2003	USA	19	AMDE	T1	$\overline{}$	
Naval-21	2006	USA	19	AMSY	\overline{a}	T1	
TG19582	nr	nr	1	AMIV	T63	$\overline{}$	\overline{a}

TABLE 8 Distribution of plasmid Rep types in GC1 isolates studied in Holt et al., 2016

^aComplete genomes.

^bAll draft genome accession numbers include "00000000".

c Encoded by pA1-1 (GenBank accession number [CP010782\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP010782).

dBoth R3-T1 and R3-T19 Reps are encoded by pA388 (GenBank accession number [CP024419](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP024419)).

^eEncoded by pAB0057 (GenBank accession number [CP001183\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP001183).

f A85 carries 5 plasmids, pA85-1 (R1-T1), pA85-1a (R1-T2), pA85-1b (does not encode a Rep), pA85-2 (R3-T1) and pA85-3 (RP-T1).

^gD36 carries 4 plasmids. pD36-1 and pD36-2 (pRAY*) (GenBank accession numbers [CP012953.1](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP012953.1) and [CP012954.1,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP012954.1) respectively) do not encode a Rep. pD36-3 (GenBank accession number [CP012955.1](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP012955.1)) encodes a R3-T1 Rep and pD36-4 (GenBank accession number [CP012956.1](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP012956.1)) encodes two Reps (R3-T25 and R3-T63).

annotated as a helix-turn-helix domain protein. The Aci classifications (repAci) that are applicable to some of the dominant types have been widely used and are likely to remain useful into the future.

The sequence files containing representative sequences of each type have been made available in a public GitHub repository and will simplify classification of competed plasmid genomes. These files will underpin the detection of plasmids in short read DNA sequence data, which is rarely undertaken currently due to the difficulties involved. This should lead to a more comprehensive approach to the surveillance of the role of plasmid-encoded functions in antibiotic resistance, virulence, and environmental survival.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmid sequence data collection. Plasmids from A. baumannii whole genome sequence projects were downloaded from GenBank ([https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/) in February 2021 and duplicate sequences from the same isolate were removed. Plasmids with sequences of poor quality were eliminated for various reasons such as sequencing errors, assembly issues, circularisation/trimming issues or the rep gene was incomplete. Reasons for removal are documented in Table S1. After curation, there were 539 plasmid nucleotide sequences available from published genome projects.

To capture complete plasmids sequenced using traditional methods (not part of a genome project), the RefSeq [\(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/refseq/\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/refseq/) database was also searched using the search terms "Acinetobacter baumannii AND complete AND plasmid and srcdb_refseq[PROP]". All plasmids with accession numbers starting with CP, CM, CU etc. indicating they were from complete genomes, were removed and the remainder curated as described above. This identified an additional 81 plasmids (including the 15 complete plasmids and 1 partial sequence described in [[2](#page-14-1)] now completed), resulting in a final data set comprising 621 complete plasmids. This data set was used to develop the typing scheme in this study.

Initially, plasmid entries with annotations were inspected manually, by searching for words such as replication or rep, to find any annotated rep genes. In the case of plasmids encoding a Rep_3 family Rep, the genes incorrectly annotated as repA were identified (see Results for details) and removed from the gene set. The remaining entries with no annotations or with no annotated rep/REP were initially further screened using low stringency BLASTn searches with each of the DNA sequences of rep genes that had been previously described in Bertini et al., [\(2\)](#page-14-1). To find rep genes in the remainder of entries, they further examined using tBLASTn using the amino acid sequences of Rep proteins described in [\(2\)](#page-14-1). In addition searches with the complete sequences or the backbone sequences of the plasmids previously found to be devoid of a rep gene including pRAY* (GenBank Accession number [CP012954.1](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP012954.1)), pA85-1b (GenBank Accession number [CP021785.1\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP021785.1), pD36-1 (GenBank Accession number [CP012953.1\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP012953.1), pA297-3 (GenBank accession number), pNDM-BJ01 (GenBank acces-sion number [JQ001791.1](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/JQ001791.1)), and pALWED1.1 (GenBank accession number [CP082144.1](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP082144.1)) were used to identify related plasmids.

After identification of the plasmids encoding a Rep protein, the DNA sequences of rep genes identified using annotations, BLASTn and tBLASTn were extracted and used to create a local database used for further analysis of the rep genes. Amino acid sequence data for the Rep of each entry were extracted and used to populate a second local database used for further analysis.

Clustering and phylogenetic analysis of the rep/Rep sequence data. Clusters comprising rep sequences at >80%, >85%, >90% and >95% nucleotide similarity were derived using CD-HIT Suite [\(https://github.com/weizhongli/cdhit](https://github.com/weizhongli/cdhit)) [\(41](#page-16-0)). To study the relationships of the Rep protein sequences, the nucleotide sequences for the extracted rep sequences were translated to amino acid sequences with EMBOSS Transeq and aligned with MUSCLE v3.8.31 ([42](#page-16-1)). The aligned sequence file was used to infer a maximum-likelihood phylogeny with IQ-TREE version 1.6.10 [\(43](#page-16-2), [44](#page-16-3)) with the VT+F+G4 model (selected from the -m test model selection flag) with 100 bootstrap replicates. The final tree was visualized in FigTree v1.4.4 [\(http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/](http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/)), and tree annotation generated with the plotTree code (github. com/katholt/plotTree) in R v1.1.456.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only. SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 1, PDF file, 0.3 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project and M.H. were supported by an Australian Research Council (ARC) DECRA fellowship (fellowship DE200100111).

M.H. conceptualized the study, while M.M.C.L., J.K., and M.H. curated the data. M.M.C.L., J.K., and M.H. performed formal analysis, and M.H. acquired funds. The investigation was completed by M.M.C.L., J.K., K.E.H., R.M.H., and M.H. Methodology was performed by M.M.C.L., J.K., K.E.H., R.M.H., and M.H. Visualization was completed by M.M.C.L. and M.H. The original draft was written by R.M.H. and M.H., while M.M.C.L., K.E.H., R.M.H., and M.H. reviewed and edited the article.

We declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Carattoli A, Zankari E, García-Fernández A, Voldby Larsen M, Lund O, Villa L, Møller Aarestrup F, Hasman H. 2014. In silico detection and typing of plasmids using PlasmidFinder and plasmid multilocus sequence typing. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:3895–3903. [https://doi.org/10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02412-14) [AAC.02412-14](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02412-14).
- 2. Bertini A, Poirel L, Mugnier PD, Villa L, Nordmann P, Carattoli A. 2010. Characterization and PCR-based replicon typing of resistance plasmids in

Acinetobacter baumannii. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:4168–4177. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00542-10.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00542-10)

3. Towner KJ, Evans B, Villa L, Levi K, Hamouda A, Amyes SG, Carattoli A. 2011. Distribution of intrinsic plasmid replicase genes and their association with carbapenem-hydrolyzing class D B-lactamase genes in European clinical isolates of Acinetobacter baumannii. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55:2154–2159. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01661-10.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01661-10)

- 4. Fu Y, Jiang J, Zhou H, Jiang Y, Fu Y, Yu Y, Zhou J. 2014. Characterization of a novel plasmid type and various genetic contexts of $bla_{\text{OXA-58}}$ in Acinetobacter spp. from multiple cities in China. PLoS One 9:e84680. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0084680) [.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0084680.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0084680)
- 5. Lean SS, Yeo CC. 2017. Small, enigmatic plasmids of the nosocomial pathogen, Acinetobacter baumannii: good, bad, who knows? Front Microbiol 8:1547. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01547>.
- 6. Liu L-L, Ji S-J, Ruan Z, Fu Y, Fu Y-Q, Wang Y-F, Yu Y-S. 2015. Dissemination of $bla_{\text{OXA-23}}$ in Acinetobacter spp. in China: main roles of conjugative plasmid pAZJ221 and transposon Tn2009. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59: 1998–2005. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.04574-14>.
- 7. Blackwell GA, Hall RM. 2017. The tet39 determinant and the msrE-mphE genes in Acinetobacter plasmids are each part of discrete modules flanked by inversely oriented pdif (XerC-XerD) sites. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 61:e00780-17. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00780-17.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00780-17)
- 8. Hua X, Pan C, Zhu L, Liu Z, Xu Q, Wang H, Yu Y. 2017. Complete genome sequence of Acinetobacter baumannii A1296 (ST1469) with a small plasmid harbouring the tet(39) tetracycline resistance gene. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 11:105–107. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2017.09.020.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2017.09.020)
- 9. Cameranesi MM, Limansky AS, Moran-Barrio J, Repizo GD, Viale AM. 2017. Three novel Acinetobacter baumannii plasmid replicase-homology groups inferred from anaysis of a multidrug-resistant clinical strain isolated in Argentina. J Infect Dis Epidemiol 3:046. <https://doi.org/10.23937/2474-3658/1510046>.
- 10. Salgado-Camargo AD, Castro-Jaimes S, Gutierrez-Rios RM, Lozano LF, Altamirano-Pacheco L, Silva-Sanchez J, Pérez-Oseguera Á, Volkow P, Castillo-Ramírez S, Cevallos MA. 2020. Structure and evolution of Acinetobacter baumannii plasmids. Front Microbiol 11:1283. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01283) [.3389/fmicb.2020.01283](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01283).
- 11. Liu H, Moran RA, Chen Y, Doughty EL, Hua X, Jiang Y, Xu Q, Zhang L, Blair JMA, McNally A, van Schaik W, Yu Y. 2021. Transferable Acinetobacter baumannii plasmid pDETAB2 encodes OXA-58 and NDM-1 and represents a new class of antibiotic resistance plasmids. J Antimicrob Chemother 76: 1130–1134. [https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkab005.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkab005)
- 12. Hamidian M, Ambrose SJ, Hall RM. 2016. A large conjugative Acinetobacter baumannii plasmid carrying the sul2 sulphonamide and strAB streptomycin resistance genes. Plasmid 87–88:43–50. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2016.09.001) [.1016/j.plasmid.2016.09.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2016.09.001).
- 13. Hamidian M, Nigro SJ, Hall RM. 2012. Variants of the gentamicin and tobramycin resistance plasmid pRAY are widely distributed in Acinetobacter. J Antimicrob Chemother 67:2833–2836. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks318>.
- 14. Mindlin S, Beletsky A, Rakitin A, Mardanov A, Petrova M. 2020. Acinetobacter plasmids: diversity and development of classification strategies. Front Microbiol 11:588410. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.588410) [.588410.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.588410)
- 15. Salto IP, Torres Tejerizo G, Wibberg D, Pühler A, Schlüter A, Pistorio M. 2018. Comparative genomic analysis of Acinetobacter spp. plasmids originating from clinical settings and environmental habitats. Sci Rep 8:7783. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26180-3>.
- 16. Blackwell GA, Hall RM. 2019. Mobilisation of a small Acinetobacter plasmid carrying an oriT transfer origin by conjugative RepAci6 plasmids. Plasmid 103:36–44. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2019.04.002.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2019.04.002)
- 17. Hamidian M, Hall RM. 2018. Genetic structure of four plasmids found in Acinetobacter baumannii isolate D36 belonging to lineage 2 of global clone 1. PLoS One 13:e0204357. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0204357.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0204357)
- 18. Mindlin S, Beletsky A, Mardanov A, Petrova M. 2019. Adaptive dif modules in permafrost strains of Acinetobacter lwoffii and their distribution and abundance among present day Acinetobacter Strains. Front Microbiol 10: 632. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00632.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00632)
- 19. Hua X, Xu Q, Zhou Z, Ji S, Yu Y. 2019. Relocation of Tn2009 and characterization of an ABGRI3-2 from re-sequenced genome sequence of Acinetobacter baumannii MDR-ZJ06. J Antimicrob Chemother 74:1153–1155. [https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dky521.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dky521)
- 20. Blackwell GA, Holt KE, Bentley SD, Hsu LY, Hall RM. 2017. Variants of AbGRI3 carrying the armA gene in extensively antibiotic-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii from Singapore. J Antimicrob Chemother 72:1031–1039. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkw542) [.org/10.1093/jac/dkw542.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkw542)
- 21. Hamidian M, Hawkey J, Wick R, Holt KE, Hall RM. 2019. Evolution of a clade of Acinetobacter baumannii global clone 1, lineage 1 via acquisition of carbapenem and aminoglycoside resistance genes and dispersion of ISAba1. Microb Genom 5:e000242. [https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000242.](https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000242)
- 22. Hu H, Hu Y, Pan Y, Liang H, Wang H, Wang X, Hao Q, Yang X, Yang X, Xiao X, Luan C, Yang Y, Cui Y, Yang R, Gao GF, Song Y, Zhu B. 2012. Novel plasmid and its variant harboring both a $bla(NDM-1)$ gene and type IV

secretion system in clinical isolates of Acinetobacter lwoffii. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:1698–1702. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.06199-11.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.06199-11)

- 23. Mindlin S, Maslova O, Beletsky A, Nurmukanova V, Zong Z, Mardanov A, Petrova M. 2021. Ubiquitous conjugative mega-plasmids of Acinetobacter species and their role in horizontal transfer of multi-drug resistance. Front Microbiol 12:728644. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.728644.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.728644)
- 24. Nigro SJ, Hall RM. 2011. Glsul2, a genomic island carrying the sul2 sulphonamide resistance gene and the small mobile element CR2 found in the Enterobacter cloacae subspecies cloacae type strain ATCC 13047 from 1890, Shigella flexneri ATCC 700930 from 1954 and Acinetobacter baumannii ATCC 17978 from 1951. J Antimicrob Chemother 66:2175–2176. [https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkr230.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkr230)
- 25. Harmer CJ, Lebreton F, Stam J, McGann PT, Hall RM. 2022. Complete genome of the extensively antibiotic-resistant GC1 Acinetobacter baumannii isolate MRSN 56 reveals a novel route to fluoroquinolone resistance. J Antimicrob Chemother 77:1851–1855. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkac115>.
- 26. Wick RR, Judd LM, Wyres KL, Holt KE. 2021. Recovery of small plasmid sequences via Oxford Nanopore sequencing. Microb Genom 7:000631. <https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000631>.
- 27. Hamidian M, Hall RM. 2014. pACICU2 is a conjugative plasmid of Acinetobacter carrying the aminoglycoside resistance transposon TnaphA6. J Antimicrob Chemother 69:1146–1148. [https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt488) [dkt488](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt488).
- 28. Hamidian M, Holt KE, Pickard D, Dougan G, Hall RM. 2014. A GC1 Acinetobacter baumannii isolate carrying AbaR3 and the aminoglycoside resistance transposon TnaphA6 in a conjugative plasmid. J Antimicrob Chemother 69:955–958. [https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt454.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt454)
- 29. Hamidian M, Kenyon JJ, Holt KE, Pickard D, Hall RM. 2014. A conjugative plasmid carrying the carbapenem resistance gene $bla_{\text{OXA-23}}$ in AbaR4 in an extensively resistant GC1 Acinetobacter baumannii isolate. J Antimicrob Chemother 69:2625–2628. [https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dku188.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dku188)
- 30. Gallagher LA, Ramage E, Weiss EJ, Radey M, Hayden HS, Held KG, Huse HK, Zurawski DV, Brittnacher MJ, Manoil C. 2015. Resources for genetic and genomic analysis of emerging pathogen Acinetobacter baumannii. J Bacteriol 197:2027–2035. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00131-15>.
- 31. Nigro SJ, Holt KE, Pickard D, Hall RM. 2015. Carbapenem and amikacin resistance on a large conjugative Acinetobacter baumannii plasmid. J Antimicrob Chemother 70:1259–1261. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dku486>.
- 32. Huang H, Yang Z-L, Wu X-M, Wang Y, Liu Y-J, Luo H, Lv X, Gan Y-R, Song S-D, Gao F. 2012. Complete genome sequence of Acinetobacter baumannii MDR-TJ and insights into its mechanism of antibiotic resistance. J Antimicrob Chemother 67:2825–2832. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks327>.
- 33. Liu CC, Kuo HY, Tang CY, Chang KC, Liou ML. 2014. Prevalence and mapping of a plasmid encoding a type IV secretion system in Acinetobacter baumannii. Genomics 104:215–223. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2014.07](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2014.07.011) [.011.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2014.07.011)
- 34. D'Andrea MM, Giani T, D'Arezzo S, Capone A, Petrosillo N, Visca P, Luzzaro F, Rossolini GM. 2009. Characterization of pABVA01, a plasmid encoding the OXA-24 carbapenemase from Italian isolates of Acinetobacter baumannii. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:3528–3533. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00178-09) [.1128/AAC.00178-09](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00178-09).
- 35. Dorsey CW, Tomaras AP, Actis LA. 2006. Sequence and organization of pMAC, an Acinetobacter baumannii plasmid harboring genes involved in organic peroxide resistance. Plasmid 56:112–123. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2006.01.004) [.plasmid.2006.01.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2006.01.004).
- 36. Huang H, Dong Y, Yang ZL, Luo H, Zhang X, Gao F. 2014. Complete sequence of pABTJ2, a plasmid from Acinetobacter baumannii MDR-TJ, carrying many phage-like elements. Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics 12:172–177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gpb.2014.05.001>.
- 37. Holt KE, Hamidian M, Kenyon JJ, Wynn MT, Hawkey J, Pickard D, Hall RM. 2015. Genome sequence of Acinetobacter baumannii strain A1, an early example of antibiotic-resistant Global Clone 1. Genome Announc 3: e00032-15. <https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00032-15>.
- 38. Hamidian M, Blasco L, Tillman LN, To J, Tomas M, Myers GSA. 2020. Analysis of complete genome sequence of Acinetobacter baumannii strain ATCC 19606 reveals novel mobile genetic elements and novel prophage. Microorganisms 8:1851. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8121851>.
- 39. Inouye M, Conway TC, Zobel J, Holt KE. 2012. Short read sequence typing (SRST): multi-locus sequence types from short reads. BMC Genomics 13: 338. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-13-338>.
- 40. Holt KE, Kenyon JJ, Hamidian M, Schultz MB, Pickard DJ, Dougan G, Hall RM. 2016. Five decades of genome evolution in the globally distributed, extensively antibiotic-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii

global clone 1. Microb Genom 2:e000052. [https://doi.org/10.1099/](https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000052) [mgen.0.000052](https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000052).

- 41. Li W, Godzik A. 2006. Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics 22: 1658–1659. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl158>.
- 42. Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res 32:1792–1797. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340) [.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340)
- 43. Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS. 2017. ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nat Methods 14:587–589. [https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4285) [.4285.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4285)
- 44. Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: A fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol 32:268–274. [https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300) [msu300](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300).