

OPTIMIZED RESOURCE ALLOCATION IN WIRELESS SYSTEMS

By

Umar Rashid

B.Sc. in Electrical Engineering,

University of Engineering and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY



Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology,

University of Technology, Sydney

Australia

January 2013

Abstract

Modern wireless systems rely to a great extent on the judicious distribution of available resources (e.g. power, bandwidth) to meet an ever increasing demand of better quality-of-service (QoS). Scarcity of these resources with time, coupled with the tremendous growth in numbers of users, network throughput, and applications, have resulted in making the problem of optimal resource allocation extremely important especially in wireless networks.

Generally, optimization problems posed in the resource allocation framework are nonconvex and thus render it difficult to find an optimal solution. Previous studies on this subject have reported only numerically cumbersome and non-tractable solutions. This dissertation attempts to exploit the hidden convexity of the resource allocation problems under some given performance criteria such as minimum mean square error (MMSE) or signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR) and then successfully finds tractable optimization formulations.

The first research problem deals with the optimal power allocation and sensor assignment in linear and nonlinear networks for static and dynamic target tracking. The proposed method casts power allocation as a semi-definite program (SDP) while sensor selection is solved via d.c. (difference of convex functions/sets) programming. The second problem considers optimal beamforming and source power allocation in relay-assisted multiuser communication. This problem is further extended to include multiple-antenna systems to exploit spatial diversity in modern cellular communication by jointly optimizing source precoding and relay processing matrices. Supremacy of the proposed d.c. programming based iterative algorithm over existing methods is demonstrated via extensive simulations.

Originality Statement

'I hereby declare that this submission is my own work and to the best of my knowledge it contains no materials previously published or written by another person, or substantial proportions of material which have been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma at UTS or any other educational institution, except where due acknowledgement is made in the thesis. Any contribution made to the research by others, with whom I have worked at UTS or elsewhere, is explicitly acknowledged in the thesis. I also declare that the intellectual content of this thesis is the product of my own work, except to the extent that assistance from others in the project's design and conception or in style, presentation and linguistic expression is acknowledged.'

Signed: Umar Rashid

Date: May 23, 2013

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to thank Almighty Allah Subhanahu Wataala for His countless bounties and blessings upon me. Then I am grateful and proud for being Ummati of my Lord Prophet Muhammad (Sallal Lahu Alaih-e-Wasallam).

I also express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor, Professor Hoang D. Tuan, for all the guidance and encouragement he has offered me throughout the period of this research. In addition to learning tricks of the trade, I have tremendously benefited from him in terms of acquiring essential skills for my professional life.

I am also grateful to my co-supervisor Dr H. H. Kha for his valuable contribution and technical assistance throughout my whole PhD tenure. He has actively participated in almost all of my research publication for which I am greatly indebted to him. I am thankful to Professor Ha H. Nguyen from University of Saskatchewan, Canada for his contribution in my projects on relay beamforming for multiuser communication. He has also been a mentor and a constant contributor in my research work. I am also obliged to Professor Pierre Apkarian from Institut de Mathematiques, Universite Paul Sabatier, France for his guidance and assistance in the field of nonlinear filtering in data fusion problems.

I would also like to take this opportunity to extend my thanks to Dean of Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology at University of Technology, Sydney, Professor Hung Nguyen who granted me tuition waiving scholarship which enabled me to fully focus on my research. My gratitude also goes to the generous financial support provided by Australian Research Council (ARC) Discovery Project for my graduate studies. I am thankful to them for putting their faith in my capabilities and I hope I have delivered.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents for their constant love and support, and for encour-

aging me to pursue higher studies.

Contents

Abstract	i
Originality Statement	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Contents	v
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	xi
List of Acronyms	xii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation and Scope	1
1.2 Problems in Resource Allocation in Wireless Networks	3
1.2.1 Distributed Estimation	3
1.2.2 Resource Allocation for Cooperative Networks	4
1.2.3 Throughput Allocation with Antenna Array Beamforming	5
1.3 Dissertation Outline	5
1.4 List of Publications	7
1.5 Notations	10

2	Fundamentals of Statistical Estimation, Wireless Communication and Optimization	
	Theory	11
2.1	Statistical Estimation	11
2.1.1	Estimation Foundation	12
2.1.2	Basics of MMSE	14
2.2	Wireless Channel	20
2.2.1	Propagation and Fading	21
2.2.2	Diversity in Wireless Communication	24
2.3	Optimization Theory	25
2.3.1	Convex Optimization	26
2.3.2	Duality Theory	28
2.3.3	d.c. Programming	29
2.3.4	Penalty Function Method	30
3	Globally Optimized Power Allocation and Sensor Assignment for Linear and Nonlinear Networks	32
3.1	Introduction	33
3.2	Global optimized decentralized Bayes filtering	35
3.2.1	Decentralized Bayes filtering for LSN	37
3.2.2	Decentralized Bayes Filtering for NSN	38
3.3	Global optimal decentralized Bayes filtering for tracking dynamic objects	41
3.4	Joint Optimization of Active Sensor Assignment and Power Allocation in Sensor Networks	46
3.4.1	Problem Formulation	47
3.4.2	Computational Methodology	51
3.5	Simulation Results	53
3.5.1	Decentralized Bayes filtering for locating static targets and the optimized sensor selection	54
3.5.2	Decentralized Bayes filtering for locating dynamic targets	61
3.5.3	Joint sensor selection and power allocation	67

3.6	Summary	70
4	Relay Beamforming Designs in Multi-User Wireless Relay Networks	72
4.1	Introduction	73
4.2	Maximin Throughput Optimization: Problem Formulations and Challenges	76
4.3	Maximin Throughput Optimization by d.c. Programming	81
4.4	Beamforming Design with Orthogonal Source Transmissions	85
4.5	Joint Optimization of Source Power Allocation and Relay Beamforming	88
4.5.1	System Model and Formulation of the Joint Design Problem	89
4.5.2	Proposed D.C. Formulation and Iterative Algorithm	92
4.6	Numerical Results	95
4.6.1	Non-Orthogonal (Concurrent) Source Transmissions	95
4.6.2	Orthogonal Source Transmissions	99
4.6.3	Joint Optimization	101
4.7	Summary	106
5	Joint Source and Relay Precoding Design in Wireless MIMO Relay Networks	109
5.1	Introduction	110
5.2	Joint Optimization Formulation for Source and Relay Precoding	112
5.3	Two-Way Relays with MMSE Receiver	118
5.4	Simulation Results	122
5.4.1	Multiuser MIMO Downlink Communication	124
5.4.2	Two-way Relays with MMSE Receiver	128
5.5	Summary	130
6	Conclusion	133
	Bibliography	135

List of Figures

2.1	Block diagram depicting system, measurement and estimator.	16
2.2	Rayleigh and Rician distribution.	22
2.3	Lognormal distribution.	23
2.4	A schematic diagram of a generic MIMO system.	25
2.5	Convexity of a set and a function.	27
3.1	Mean square error versus sum transmit power in minimizing MSE for scalar parameter.	53
3.2	Mean square error versus logarithm of sum transmit power in minimizing sum power for scalar parameter	54
3.3	(a) MSE estimation performance for proposed multisensor and single sensor. (b) MSE estimation performance for proposed SDP based power allocation and equal power allocation schemes.	55
3.4	Mean square error versus total transmit power while minimizing MSE for vector-valued parameter	56
3.5	Mean square error versus total transmit power while minimizing power for vector-valued parameter.	57
3.6	Mean square error versus total transmit power in nonlinear model of locating a static target.	60
3.7	(a) True trajectory and estimate of the state θ_k under single sensor and multisensor case for a nonlinear dynamic model. (b) Mean square error versus total transmit power for a nonlinear dynamic model in an LSN.	61

3.8	Trajectory of a maneuvering target and distribution of nodes over surveillance region.	62
3.9	Target tracking performance of multisensor and single sensor in terms of estimation error of x-coordinate.	63
3.10	Target tracking performance of multisensor and single sensor in terms of estimation error of y-coordinate.	64
3.11	True trajectory and estimates by single and multisensor network.	65
3.12	Distribution of power among different sensor nodes for the case $N = 10$	66
3.13	MSE estimation performance comparison in an LSN for $N = 30$	68
3.14	MSE estimation performance comparison in an NSN for $N = 12$	69
3.15	MSE estimation performance comparison in an NSN for $N = 20$	70
4.1	A multi-user amplify-and-forward wireless relay network.	76
4.2	A multi-user multi-relay network.	89
4.3	Information throughput versus total relaying power for $M = 3$ and $N = 10$ under non-orthogonal source transmissions.	94
4.4	Information throughput versus total relaying power for $M = 4$ and $N = 12$ under non-orthogonal source transmissions.	95
4.5	Information throughput versus total relaying power for $M = 4$ and $N = 16$ under non-orthogonal source transmissions.	96
4.6	Effect of channel uncertainty on information throughput for $M = 5, N = 20$ under non-orthogonal source transmissions.	99
4.7	Information throughput versus total relaying power for orthogonal source transmissions.	100
4.8	Effect of uplink and downlink channel uncertainties on the information throughput for $M = 5, N = 10$ under orthogonal source transmissions.	101
4.9	Performance comparison of proposed joint optimization methods and separate optimizations of source powers and beamforming vector, $M = 3$ and $N = 10$	103
4.10	Performance comparison of proposed joint optimization methods and separate optimizations of source powers and beamforming vector, $M = 4$ and $N = 12$	104

4.11	Performance comparison of proposed joint optimization methods and separate optimizations of source powers and beamforming vector, $M = 4$ and $N = 16$	105
4.12	Performance comparison of proposed joint optimization methods and separate optimizations of source powers and beamforming vector, $M = 5$ and $N = 16$	106
4.13	Distribution of source powers for a specific channel realization in a network with $M = 4$ and $N = 12$	107
5.1	A multi-user amplify-and-forward MIMO wireless relay network for downlink cellular communication.	112
5.2	A two-way MIMO Relay system.	118
5.3	Signal-to-interference-plus-noise ration (SINR) plotted versus total relaying power for $(M, N, K) = (4, 4, 4)$ for downlink cellular communication.	122
5.4	Signal-to-interference-plus-noise ration (SINR) plotted versus total relaying power for $(M, N, K) = (2, 2, 4)$ for downlink cellular communication.	123
5.5	Signal-to-interference-plus-noise ration (SINR) plotted versus total relaying power for $(M, N, K) = (3, 5, 5)$ for downlink cellular communication.	124
5.6	Signal-to-interference-plus-noise ration (SINR) plotted versus total relaying power for $(M, N, K) = (3, 6, 6)$ for downlink cellular communication.	125
5.7	Signal-to-interference-plus-noise ration (SINR) plotted versus total relaying power for $(M, N, K) = (4, 8, 8)$ for downlink cellular communication.	126
5.8	Signal-to-interference-plus-noise ration (SINR) plotted versus total relaying power for $(M, N, K) = (5, 8, 8)$ for downlink cellular communication.	127
5.9	Sum throughput plotted versus total relaying power for two-way and one-way MIMO relay-assisted communication.	129
5.10	Sum throughput plotted versus total relaying power for two-way and one-way MIMO relay-assisted communication.	130
5.11	Sum of MSE of both source \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 plotted versus total relaying power with $(M, N) = (2, 2)$ for two-way MIMO relay-assisted communication.	131
5.12	Bit error rate plotted versus total relaying power with $(M, N) = (4, 4)$ for two-way MIMO relay-assisted communication.	132

List of Tables

3.1	Power allocation α for locating random scalar	58
3.2	Power allocation α to sensors for locating random vector	59
3.3	Average No. of iterations for LSN with $N = 30$	68
3.4	Average No. of iterations for NSN with $N = 20$	69
4.1	Average number of iterations for obtaining solutions under non-orthogonal source transmissions.	97
4.2	Average rank i_{opt} of \mathbf{X}_{opt} by SDP relaxation.	98
4.3	Average number of iterations for obtaining solutions under orthogonal source transmissions.	102
4.4	Average number of iterations for convergence.	108
5.1	Average number of iterations for convergence for $(M, N, K) = (3, 5, 5)$	128

List of Acronyms

Acronyms

SN	Sensor Network
FC	Fusion Center
MMSE	Minimum Mean Square Error
SDP	Semi-definite Programming
DCP	Difference of Convex Programming
UKF	Unscented Kalman Filter
LMI	Linear Matrix Inequality
LFT	Linear Fractional Transformation
SINR	Signal to Interference Plus Noise Ratio
SDR	Semidefinite Relaxation
MIMO	Multiple Input Multiple Output
s.t.	Subject to