Investigating chemotherapy adverse events: incidence, costs and consequences

Alison Pearce

Centre for Health Economics Research and Evaluation

Faculty of Business

University of Technology, Sydney

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Certificate of original authorship

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree, nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Alison Pearce

09 October 2013

Acknowledgements

My PhD has been a thoroughly enjoyable step in the ongoing process of becoming a researcher, and my first step towards becoming a health economist. However, there are many people who have contributed to my getting this far.

My supervisors have guided, assisted and encouraged me throughout my PhD. Both extremely knowledgeable, Marion is organised and practical, while Rosalie challenged my thinking and approach. Together they form an ideal supervision team, and have ignited in me a keen desire to learn more about health economics.

I have also been very lucky to have the opportunity to be based at the Centre for Health Economics Research and Evaluation (CHERE), UTS for my PhD. Being surrounded by talented, enthusiastic health economists from a variety of backgrounds is a great learning environment. I would particularly like to acknowledge the assistance of Liz Chinchen at CHERE with the literature searches and EndNote.

Analysing the Australian Government Department of Veterans' Affairs (DVA) data was a great opportunity, and I thank Robyn Ward and Sallie-Anne Pearson for providing both access and support. Preeyaporn Srasuebkul helped me enormously to get my head around both the data and SAS. The DVA chapter has been reviewed by DVA prior to submission and the views expressed are not necessarily those of the Australian Government.

Wendy Monaghan, of Wendy Monaghan Editing Service provided professional and helpful editing suggestions, with editorial intervention restricted to Standards D and E of the Australian Standards for Editing Practice.

I am very grateful to the thesis assessors, who provided thoughtful, constructive advice, which I believe has strengthened the thesis and given me ideas for moving forward.

My family have been so supportive throughout a four-year period in which we have all experienced the health care system first hand. These experiences remind me of why health services research is so important. The McMasters Girls have shared ups, downs, gladiator names and wine, and (most importantly) helped me keep perspective.

And to my husband Chris, this has been a different kind of adventure, but I couldn't have done it without you. Thank you for everything.

Table of contents

Certificat	e of original authorship	ii
Acknowle	edgements	iii
Table of o	contents	iv
List of fig	ures	ix
List of tak	oles	xi
Abbrevia	tions and shortened forms	xiv
Abstract.		xvii
CHAPT	ER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Bac	kground	3
1.1.1	Cancer in Australia	3
1.1.2	Adverse events	8
1.1.3	Funding of healthcare and medicines in Australia	13
1.1.4	Economic evaluation	14
1.1.5	Economic modelling	15
1.1.6	Clinical trials and economic evaluation	18
1.2 Aim	s and objectives	20
1.3 The	oretical framework	21
1.3.1	Policy framework	22
1.4 Dat	a sources	23
1.4.1	eviQ	23
1.4.2	Australian Government Department of Veterans' Affairs	24
1.4.3	Elements of Cancer Care study	25
1.5 Ove	erview of research components	25
СНАРТ	ER 2: COSTS AND CONSEQUENCES OF ADVERSE EVENTS	IN A
SYSTEN	MATIC REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	27
2.1 Bac	kground	27
2.1.1	Modelling chemotherapy adverse events	
2.2 Me	thods	
2.2.1	Aims and objective	
2.2.2	Literature search	
2.3 Res	ults	
2.3.1	General model design	
2.3.2	Reason for inclusion of adverse-events in the model	
2.3.3	Dose modifications	
2.3.4	Adverse events and utilities	42

2	2.3.5	Multiple adverse events	42
2	2.3.6	How type and severity affect cost	43
2	2.3.7	Number of concepts of interest included	51
2.4	Disc	ussion	52
2	2.4.1	Previous research on modelling chemotherapy adverse events	53
2	2.4.2	Conclusion	58
CH	APTI	ER 3: COSTS AND CONSEQUENCES OF ADVERSE EV	ENTS USING
DE	CISIC	ON ANALYTIC MODELLING	60
3.1	Back	ground	61
3	3.1.1	Economic modelling	61
3	3.1.2	Economic modelling of chemotherapy	67
3.2	Mod	lelling methods	67
3	3.2.1	Decision analytic modelling—the Briggs et al approach	69
3.3	Mod	lels of chemotherapy adverse events	73
3.4	Diar	rhoea model	79
3	3.4.1	Background	79
3	3.4.2	Structure of the decision model	85
3	3.4.3	Synthesising the evidence	89
3	3.4.4	Modelling the results	94
3	3.4.5	Assessing uncertainty	95
3	3.4.6	Discussion	98
3	3.4.7	Conclusion	101
3.5	Ana	emia model	103
3	3.5.1	Background	103
3	3.5.2	Structure of the decision models	112
3	3.5.3	Synthesising the evidence	118
3	3.5.4	Modelling the results	126
3	3.5.5	Assessing uncertainty	128
3	3.5.6	Discussion	137
3	3.5.7	Conclusion	141
3.6	Nau	sea and vomiting	143
3	3.6.1	Background	143
3	3.6.2	Structure of the decision models	151
3	3.6.3	Synthesising the evidence	155
3	3.6.4	Modelling the results	160
3	3.6.5	Assessing uncertainty	162

3.6.6	Discussion	168
3.6.7	Conclusion	171
3.7 Febi	ile Neutropoenia model	172
3.7.1	Background	172
3.7.2	Structure of the decision model	178
3.7.3	Synthesising the evidence	181
3.7.4	Modelling the results	184
3.7.5	Assessing uncertainty	185
3.7.6	Discussion	188
3.7.7	Conclusion	191
3.8 Ove	rall discussion of findings from modelling	192
3.8.1	Conclusion	198
CHAPTI	ER 4: THE INCIDENCE AND COSTS OF CHEMO	THERAPY
ADVER!	SE EVENTS IN A LARGE ADMINISTRATIVE DATASET	201
4.1 Back	ground	202
4.1.1	Australian Government Department of Veterans' Affairs	
4.1.2	Aims and objectives	
4.1.3	Data	
4.1.4	Demographic variables in the dataset	209
4.1.5	Adverse-event variables	
4.1.6	Summary statistics	213
4.1.7	Data issues	216
4.2 Incid	dence of chemotherapy adverse events in clinical practice	218
4.2.1	Methods	
4.2.2	Results	223
4.2.3	Discussion	224
4.3 Fact	ors that influence the incidence of adverse events in clinical practice	226
4.3.1	Background to regression analysis with correlated data	226
4.3.2	Methods: logistic regression with summary statistic	230
4.3.3	Methods: GEE	231
4.3.4	Data	233
4.3.5	Results: logistic regression with summary statistic	235
4.3.6	Results: GEE	248
4.3.7	Discussion	262
4.4 Reso	ource-use associated with chemotherapy adverse events in clinical practic	:e264
4.4.1	Methods	264

4.4.2	Issues with cost data	265
4.4.3	Results	270
4.4.4	Discussion	286
4.5 DVA	Discussion	288
4.5.1	Conclusion	293
СНАРТЕ	ER 5: INCIDENCE AND CONSEQUENCES OF CHE	MOTHERAPY
ADVERS	SE EVENTS IN A PROSPECTIVE COHORT STUDY	295
5.1 Back	ground	296
5.1.1	Aims and objectives	298
5.1.2	Data	299
5.2 Analy	ysis	302
5.2.1	Demographics and clinical characteristics	304
5.3 Frequ	uency of common adverse events	307
5.3.1	Methods	307
5.3.2	Results	307
5.3.3	Discussion	313
5.4 Valid	late use of an adverse-event treatment proxy	315
5.4.1	Methods	315
5.4.2	Results	316
5.4.3	Discussion	321
5.5 Explo	ore the management of adverse events	323
5.5.1	Methods	323
5.5.2	Results	324
5.5.3	Discussion	326
5.6 Com	pare rates of adverse events in standard practice to clinical trials	326
5.6.1	Methods	327
5.6.2	Results	327
5.6.3	Discussion	328
5.7 Over	all discussion of Elements of Cancer Care	329
5.7.1	Conclusion	333
СНАРТЕ	ER 6: DISCUSSION	334
6.1.1	Conclusion	343
APPEND	DICES 345	
Appendix /	A: PRISMA Checklist	346
Appendix I	B: Search strategies for literature review	349
Appendix (C: NHS EED annotated abstract	351

Append	dix D: Graves checklist (49)	353	
Appendix E: Tables of all studies in the literature review, shown by adverse-event type or			
cancer	type	354	
(i)	Adverse-event treatment studies of neutropoenia	.354	
(ii)	Adverse-event treatment studies of anaemia, thrombocytopenia and multiple events	355	
(iii)	Adverse-event treatment studies of nausea and vomiting	.356	
(iv)	Chemotherapy cost-effectiveness studies of early or primary breast cancer	.357	
(v)	Chemotherapy cost-effectiveness studies of metastatic or advanced breast cancer	.360	
(vi)	Chemotherapy cost-effectiveness studies of cancers other than breast	.361	
Append	dix F: Principles of Good Practice for Decision Analytic Modelling in Health Care		
Evaluat	tions	363	
Append	dix G: Search strategies for adverse event models	367	
Append	dix H: Previous studies that included a cost of diarrhoea	375	
Append	dix I: Diarrhoea TreeAge model	379	
Append	dix J: Previous studies that included a cost of anaemia	381	
Append	dix K: Anaemia TreeAge model	387	
Append	dix L: Previous studies that included a cost of nausea and vomiting	389	
Append	dix M: Nausea and vomiting TreeAge model	393	
Append	dix N: Previous studies that included a cost of neutropoenia	395	
Append	dix O: Neutropoenia TreeAge model	403	
Append	dix P: DVA dataset size	405	
Appen	dix Q: Elements of Cancer Care patient questionnaires	407	
REFE	RENCES 410		

List of figures

Figure 1.1: Ten most commonly diagnosed cancers in Australia, 2007 (21) 4
Figure 1.2: Ten most common causes of death from cancer in Australia, 2007 (21)
Figure 1.3: Age-specific incidence rates for all cancers combined, Australia 2007 21)
Figure 2.1: Flowchart of study inclusion
Figure 2.2: Proportion of studies addressing each Graves criteria
Figure 2.3: Adverse-event costs (in 1999 International \$) by grade of adverse
event (classified as mild, moderate, severe or life threatening)
Figure 2.4: Percentage of Grade IV cost for each adverse event in Ojeda (98) and
Capri studies (99)
Figure 2.5: The contribution of each adverse-event type to the total cost of adverse
events in the Ojeda (98) and Capri studies (99)
Figure 3.1: Sample decision tree showing pathway through decision node and
chance nodes for the treatment of lung cancer (119)
Figure 3.2: Example of a Markov model for adjuvant breast cancer treatment (87)
Figure 3.3: Decision-tree model for chemotherapy-induced diarrhoea
Figure 3.4: One-way sensitivity analysis—diarrhoea model
Figure 3.5: Decision-tree model for chemotherapy-induced anaemia associated with chemotherapy of curative intent
Figure 3.6: Decision-tree model for chemotherapy-induced anaemia associated
with palliative chemotherapy
Figure 3.7: One-way sensitivity analysis of curative anaemia model—all
parameters
Figure 3.8: One-way sensitivity analysis of anaemia model—EPO three times
veekly
Figure 3.9: One-way sensitivity analysis of anaemia model—EPO weekly 135
Figure 3.10: One-way sensitivity analysis of anaemia model—darbepoetin weekly
Figure 3.11: One-way sensitivity analysis of anaemia model—darbepoetin three-
weekly
Figure 3.12: Decision-tree model of nausea and vomiting
Figure 3.13: Sensitivity analysis—low-emetogenic-risk chemotherapy
Figure 3.14: Sensitivity analysis—moderate-emetogenic-risk chemotherapy 165
Figure 3.15: Sensitivity analysis—anthracycline/cyclophosphamide chemotherapy
Figure 3.17: Decision-tree model for chemotherapy-induced neutropoenia 179
Figure 3.17. Decision-use model for chemotherapy-induced neutropoenia 179
Figure 4.1: Visual representation of dataset merge (using mock data)
Figure 4.2: Distribution of total costs for the first six months of a new
chemotherapy treatment
Figure 4.3: Distribution of log-costs associated with adverse events in the first six
months of a new chemotherapy treatment

Figure 4.4: Distribution of cost variables—mean raw cost vs. standard de	eviation
of raw cost per person by age group and gender	272
Figure 4.5: Pattern of residuals—actual with 20 simulations	280
Figure 4.6: Pattern of residuals—actual with 20 simulations	286
Figure 5.1: Cumulative frequency of self-reported adverse events during	Elements
of Cancer Care study period	312

List of tables

Table 2.5: Studies in literature review with two grades of adverse event	Table 1.1: Comparison of the relative severity of adverse events in two studies	. 10
Table 2.2: Modelling methods used by included studies	Table 1.2: Clinical characteristics of four selected chemotherapy adverse event	ts 12
Fable 2.3: Studies reporting cost per QALY		
Fable 2.3: Studies reporting cost per QALY	Table 2.2: Modelling methods used by included studies	40
evels		
evels	Table 2.4: Two studies in literature review reporting adverse events at four gra	.de
Fable 3.1: Clinical characteristics of adverse events to be modelled		46
Fable 3.2: CTCAE v4.03 diarrhoea grading (31)	Table 2.5: Studies in literature review with two grades of adverse event	49
Table 3.3: Summary of loperamide, octreotide and antibiotic dose ecommendations for diarrhoea	Table 3.1: Clinical characteristics of adverse events to be modelled	69
ecommendations for diarrhoea	Table 3.2: CTCAE v4.03 diarrhoea grading (31)	80
Fable 3.4: Assumptions in the economic model of diarrhoea	Table 3.3: Summary of loperamide, octreotide and antibiotic dose	
Table 3.5: Costs used in economic model of diarrhoea	recommendations for diarrhoea	86
Table 3.6: Base-case costs of managing chemotherapy-induced diarrhoea model 3.7: Parameters and values tested in the sensitivity analysis of diarrhoea model 3.8: NCI CTCAE volume 4.03 anaemia grading (31) (page 3) 104 Table 3.9: FDA Erythropoietic agent dosing recommendations (148) 106 Table 3.10: Assumptions in the curative economic model of anaemia 120 Table 3.11: Assumptions in the palliative economic model of anaemia 122 Table 3.12: Costs used in (both) economic models of anaemia 125 Table 3.13: Base-case results for curative model of anaemia 126 Table 3.14: Base-case results for palliative model of anaemia—costs 127 Table 3.15: Base-case results for palliative model of anaemia—utilities 128 Table 3.16: Parameters and values tested in the sensitivity analysis of the curative model of anaemia 130 Table 3.17: Parameters and values tested in the sensitivity analysis of the palliative model of anaemia 131 Table 3.18: NCI CTCAE version 4.03 nausea and vomiting grading (31) 144 Table 3.19: Comparison of recommendations for nausea and vomiting prophylaxis adapted from Jordan 2007 (181)) 148 Table 3.20: Assumptions used in the economic model of nausea and vomiting 156 Table 3.21: Costs used in the economic model of nausea and vomiting 156 Table 3.23: Base-case results—low-emetogenic-risk chemotherapy 161 Table 3.24: Base-case results—anthracycline and cyclophosphamide 161 Table 3.25: Base-case results—anthracycline and cyclophosphamide 161 Table 3.25: Base-case results—high-emetogenic-risk chemotherapy 161 Table 3.26: Parameters and values tested in the sensitivity analysis for nausea and vomiting model 162 Table 3.27: NCI CTCAE v4.03 neutropoenia grading (31) 173 Table 3.28: Assumptions used in the economic model of chemotherapy-induced	Table 3.4: Assumptions in the economic model of diarrhoea	90
Table 3.7: Parameters and values tested in the sensitivity analysis of diarrhoea model	Table 3.5: Costs used in economic model of diarrhoea	94
rodel	Table 3.6: Base-case costs of managing chemotherapy-induced diarrhoea	95
Table 3.8: NCI CTCAE volume 4.03 anaemia grading (31) (page 3)	Table 3.7: Parameters and values tested in the sensitivity analysis of diarrhoea	
Fable 3.9: FDA Erythropoietic agent dosing recommendations (148)		96
Fable 3.9: FDA Erythropoietic agent dosing recommendations (148)	Table 3.8: NCI CTCAE volume 4.03 anaemia grading (31) (page 3)	104
Table 3.10: Assumptions in the curative economic model of anaemia		
Table 3.11: Assumptions in the palliative economic model of anaemia	Table 3.10: Assumptions in the curative economic model of anaemia	120
Table 3.13: Base-case results for curative model of anaemia	<u>.</u>	
Table 3.13: Base-case results for curative model of anaemia	Table 3.12: Costs used in (both) economic models of anaemia	125
Table 3.15: Base-case results for palliative model of anaemia—utilities		
Table 3.15: Base-case results for palliative model of anaemia—utilities	Table 3.14: Base-case results for palliative model of anaemia—costs	127
Table 3.16: Parameters and values tested in the sensitivity analysis of the curative model of anaemia		
Table 3.17: Parameters and values tested in the sensitivity analysis of the palliative model of anaemia		
palliative model of anaemia		
palliative model of anaemia	Table 3.17: Parameters and values tested in the sensitivity analysis of the	
Table 3.19: Comparison of recommendations for nausea and vomiting prophylaxis adapted from Jordan 2007 (181))		131
Table 3.19: Comparison of recommendations for nausea and vomiting prophylaxis adapted from Jordan 2007 (181))	Table 3.18: NCI CTCAE version 4.03 nausea and vomiting grading (31)	144
Table 3.20: Assumptions used in the economic model of nausea and vomiting 156 Table 3.21: Costs used in the economic model of nausea and vomiting 160 Table 3.22: Base-case results—low-emetogenic-risk chemotherapy 161 Table 3.23: Base-case results—moderate-emetogenic-risk chemotherapy 161 Table 3.24: Base-case results—anthracycline and cyclophosphamide Chemotherapy 161 Table 3.25: Base-case results—high-emetogenic-risk chemotherapy 161 Table 3.26: Parameters and values tested in the sensitivity analysis for nausea and vomiting model 162 Table 3.27: NCI CTCAE v4.03 neutropoenia grading (31) 173 Table 3.28: Assumptions used in the economic model of chemotherapy-induced		
Table 3.20: Assumptions used in the economic model of nausea and vomiting 156 Table 3.21: Costs used in the economic model of nausea and vomiting 160 Table 3.22: Base-case results—low-emetogenic-risk chemotherapy 161 Table 3.23: Base-case results—moderate-emetogenic-risk chemotherapy 161 Table 3.24: Base-case results—anthracycline and cyclophosphamide Chemotherapy 161 Table 3.25: Base-case results—high-emetogenic-risk chemotherapy 161 Table 3.26: Parameters and values tested in the sensitivity analysis for nausea and vomiting model 162 Table 3.27: NCI CTCAE v4.03 neutropoenia grading (31) 173 Table 3.28: Assumptions used in the economic model of chemotherapy-induced	(adapted from Jordan 2007 (181))	148
Table 3.21: Costs used in the economic model of nausea and vomiting	\ 1	156
Table 3.22: Base-case results—low-emetogenic-risk chemotherapy	· ·	
Table 3.23: Base-case results—moderate-emetogenic-risk chemotherapy	•	
Table 3.24: Base-case results—anthracycline and cyclophosphamide chemotherapy		
Chemotherapy		
Table 3.26: Parameters and values tested in the sensitivity analysis for nausea and vomiting model162Table 3.27: NCI CTCAE v4.03 neutropoenia grading (31)173Table 3.28: Assumptions used in the economic model of chemotherapy-induced	chemotherapy	161
Table 3.26: Parameters and values tested in the sensitivity analysis for nausea and vomiting model162Table 3.27: NCI CTCAE v4.03 neutropoenia grading (31)173Table 3.28: Assumptions used in the economic model of chemotherapy-induced	Table 3.25: Base-case results—high-emetogenic-risk chemotherapy	161
Vomiting model		
Table 3.27: NCI CTCAE v4.03 neutropoenia grading (31)		
Table 3.28: Assumptions used in the economic model of chemotherapy-induced		
ebrile neutropoenia	febrile neutropoenia	
Table 3.29: Costs used in the economic model of chemotherapy-induced febrile		
neutropoenia 184	• •	

Table 3.30: Results of low-risk neutropoenia management model	185
Table 3.31: Parameters and values tested in sensitivity analysis for chemothera	ру-
induced neutropoenia model	186
Table 4.1: Datasets linked for the analysis of adverse events in DVA clients	208
Table 4.2: Resources identified as treatments for each adverse event	212
Table 4.3: Demographic and clinical characteristics of the DVA cohort	214
Table 4.4: Types of cancers—DVA cohort	
Table 4.5: Ten most administered anti-neoplastic drugs—DVA cohort	
Table 4.6: Variables used to create the analysis dataset of the DVA cohort	
Table 4.7: Variables in DVA adverse-event dataset for calculating incidence	
Table 4.8: Incidence of adverse events by dose and by person in the DVA coho	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	223
Table 4.9: Rates of treatments in DVA non-cancer cohort, and at 3 and 10 days	5
post-chemotherapy	
Table 4.10: Variables in the DVA adverse-event regression dataset	
Table 4.11: Model fit statistics—diarrhoea	
Table 4.12: Analysis of maximum likelihood estimates—diarrhoea	238
Table 4.13: Model fit statistics—nausea and vomiting	239
Table 4.14: Analysis of maximum likelihood and odds ratio estimates—nausea	
	241
	242
Table 4.16: Analysis of maximum likelihood and odds ratio estimates—anaem	
	244
Table 4.17: Model fit statistics—neutropoenia	
Table 4.18: Analysis of maximum likelihood and odds ratio estimates—	24 3
	247
1	248
Table 4.20: Comparison of model structures—diarrhoea	
Table 4.21: GEE results—diarrhoea	
Table 4.22: Comparison of GEE correlation structures—nausea and vomiting.	252 254
ϵ	255
Table 4.25: Comparison of GEE correlation structures—anaemia	
Table 4.26: Comparison of model structures—anaemia	
Table 4.27: GEE results—anaemia	
Table 4.28: Comparison of GEE correlation structures—neutropoenia	
Table 4.29: Comparison of model structures—neutropoenia	
Table 4.30: GEE results—neutropoenia	
Table 4.31: Summary of GEE results	262
Table 4.32: Variables included in the DVA models of costs associated with	• 60
adverse events	
Table 4.33: Results of simple linear regression of costs and each adverse event	
Table 4.34: Results of linear regression with log-costs and each adverse event.	
Table 4.34: Results of linear regression with log-costs and each adverse event. Table 4.35: Results of gamma model of the additional cost associated with each	
Table 4.34: Results of linear regression with log-costs and each adverse event. Table 4.35: Results of gamma model of the additional cost associated with each adverse event	
Table 4.34: Results of linear regression with log-costs and each adverse event. Table 4.35: Results of gamma model of the additional cost associated with each adverse event	
Table 4.34: Results of linear regression with log-costs and each adverse event. Table 4.35: Results of gamma model of the additional cost associated with each adverse event	283

Table 5.1: Adverse-event variables in the Elements of Cancer Care analysis 303	3
Table 5.2: Demographic and clinical characteristics of the Elements of Cancer	
Care cohort	5
Care study period	3
Table 5.4: Self-reported adverse events—any adverse event during the Elements	
of Cancer Care study period	
Table 5.5: Self-reported adverse events—worst grade reported during Elements of	•
Cancer Care study period)
Table 5.6: Haematological adverse events—worst grade during Elements of	
Cancer Care study period	
Table 5.7: Comparison of incidence of adverse events in Elements of Cancer Care	
study with Henry et al. 2008 (87)	1
Table 5.8: Incidence of adverse events by dose identified using proxy in the	
Elements of Cancer Care dataset and the DVA dataset	
Table 5.9: Self-reported diarrhoea compared with proxy-diarrhoea	
Table 5.10: Self-reported nausea and vomiting compared with proxy-nausea and	
vomiting	
Table 5.11: Blood-test-identified anaemia compared with proxy-anaemia 317	
Table 5.12: Blood-test-identified neutropoenia compared with proxy-neutropoenia	
318	3
Table 5.13: Self-reported diarrhoea by grade compared with proxy-identified	_
diarrhoea	3
Table 5.14: Self-reported nausea and vomiting by grade compared with proxy-	_
identified nausea and vomiting	3
Table 5.15: Blood-test-identified anaemia by grade compared with proxy-	_
identified anaemia)
Table 5.16: Blood–test-identified neutropoenia by grade compared with proxy-	`
identified neutropoenia)
Table 5.17: Proxy-identified diarrhoea treatments compared with self-reported	`
diarrhoea by grade 320)
Table 5.18: Proxy-identified nausea and vomiting treatments compared with self-	`
reported nausea and vomiting by grade)
Table 5.19: Proxy-identified anaemia treatments compared with laboratory-test-	1
identified anaemia by grade	L
Table 5.20: Proxy-identified neutropoenia treatments compared with laboratory-	1
test-identified neutropoenia by grade	L
Table 5.21: Proxy-identified diarrhoea treatments compared with self-reported	4
diarrhoea by grade 324	
Table 5.22: Proxy-identified nausea and vomiting treatments compared with self-	
reported nausea and vomiting by grade)
Table 5.23: Proxy-identified anaemia treatments compared with blood-test-	-
identified anaemia by grade	,
Table 5.24: Proxy-identified neutropoenia treatments compared with blood-test-	<u></u>
identified neutropoenia by grade	
Elements of Cancer Care study)

Abbreviations and shortened forms

ACAS Australian Cancer Anaemia Survey

ACT Australian Capital Territory
ADL activities of daily living

AE adverse event

AIC Akaike's Information Criteria
ANC absolute neutrophil count

APDC Admitted Patient Data Collection (NSW)
AR-DRGs Australian Refined Diagnosis Related Groups
ASCO American Society of Clinical Oncology

ASH American Society of Hematology
ATC Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical
BCCA British Columbia Cancer Agency

bid twice per day

CADTH Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technology in Health

CCR Central Cancer Registry (NSW)
CHeReL Centre for Health Record Linkage

CI confidence interval

CPT-11 irinotecan

CTCAE Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events

DRG diagnosis related group

DVA Australian Government Department of Veterans' Affairs

ECAS European Cancer Anaemia Survey

EDDC Emergency Department Data Collection (NSW)

EMCaP Economic Models for Cancer Protocols

EORTC European Organisation for Research and Treatment of

Cancer

EPO erythropoietin

ESA erythropoiesis stimulating agent

ESMO European Society of Medical Oncology FDA US Food and Drug Administration

5-FU 5-fluorouracil

5-HT3RA 5-HT3 receptor antagonists

g/dL grams per decilitre

GEE generalised estimating equations GLM generalised linear modelling

GP general practitioner

G-CSF granulocyte colony-stimulating factor

Hb haemoglobin

hrs hours

ICER incremental cost-effectiveness ratio

ICU intensive care unit
IM intramuscular
inpt inpatient

ISPOR International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and

Outcomes Research

IVT intravenous therapy

lab. Laboratory

MASCC Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer

max. maximum

MBS Medicare Benefits Schedule

MATES Medicines Advice and Therapeutics Education Services

MeSH medical subject heading

mg milligram

NCCN National Comprehensive Cancer Network

NCI National Cancer Institute

NHCDC National Hospital Cost Data Collection

NHMRC National Health and Medical Research Council

NHS National Health Service

NHS EED National Health Service Economic Evaluation Database

NICE National Institute of Health and Care Excellence

NS not specified

NSCL non-small-cell lung cancer

NSW New South Wales
OOP out-of-pocket

OLS ordinary least squares

outpt outpatient

PBAC Pharmaceutical Benefits Advisory Committee

PBS Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme

PICO population / intervention / comparison / outcome

PLD pegylated liposomal doxorubicin

PPN unique patient identifier QALY quality adjusted life year

QIC quasi-likelihood under the independence model criterion
QICu simplified quasi-likelihood under the independence model

criterion

RBC red blood cell

RDI relative dose intensity

RPBS Repatriation Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme

SA sensitivity analysis
SC Schwarz Criterion
SQ subcutaneous

SESIAHS South Eastern Sydney and Illawarra Area Health Service

TGA Therapeutic Goods Administration

tid three times per day
TTO time trade-off

U units

μg microgram
UK United Kingdom
US United States

v. versus

Abstract

Background: In Australia, economic evaluation is an important tool in prioritising healthcare spending. Adverse events of chemotherapy affect patients' physical health and quality of life; however, they are often excluded from chemotherapy economic evaluations. This thesis explores the incidence, costs and consequences of chemotherapy adverse events and the implications for cost-effectiveness.

Key Objectives:

- 1. Examine how adverse events are incorporated into models of chemotherapy cost-effectiveness.
- 2. Develop Australia-based models of costs and consequences of four common adverse events.
- 3. Estimate incidence of adverse events in clinical practice.
- 4. Estimate costs of adverse events in clinical practice.
- 5. Compare rates of adverse events in clinical practice with rates reported in clinical trials.

Methods: There are four components to this research. The first is a systematic review examining how adverse events are incorporated into existing models of chemotherapy cost-effectiveness (Objective 1). The second is the use of decision analytic modelling to develop models of the costs and consequences of diarrhoea, nausea/vomiting, anaemia and neutropoenia. These can then become standard components of future models of chemotherapy cost effectiveness (Objective 2). The third is the use of regression to estimate the incidence and costs of adverse events (Objectives 3 and 4) in an administrative dataset linked to routinely collected data on pharmaceutical and medical service use. Finally, an analysis of a prospective cohort of 482 individuals undergoing chemotherapy examines the frequency of adverse events (Objective 3) in comparison with those reported in clinical trials (Objective 5).

Results: The systematic review revealed that adverse events are not included in models of chemotherapy cost-effectiveness in any rigorous way. The models developed demonstrate that rigorous, systematic consideration of the key costs

and consequences of adverse events is possible, and provide a standard way to include adverse events in future models. Older or sicker individuals in the administrative dataset were more likely to experience adverse events, although incidence was low. Mean healthcare costs significantly increased with treatment for nausea, anaemia or neutropoenia but not diarrhoea. The prospective cohort study identified higher rates of adverse events than reported in clinical trials, with low-severity events particularly common.

Conclusions: In exploring the incidence, costs and consequences of chemotherapy adverse events, this thesis demonstrates that it is possible to model the key costs and consequences of chemotherapy adverse events, and that clinical practice data may reduce bias in these models. This is a significant contribution to determining true chemotherapy costs and consequences.