# PHOTOCATALYSIS HYBRID SYSTEM IN REMOVING ORGANIC MATTER FROM WATER

By Nathaporn Areerachakul



Submitted in fulfillment for the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** 

# Faculty of Engineering University of Technology, Sydney (UTS)

Australia

2007

### Certificate

I certify that this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not being submitted as part of candidature for any other degree.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me that any help that I have received in preparation of the thesis, and all sources used, has been acknowledged in this thesis.

## Signature of Candidate

Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.

#### Abstract

Conventional processes used to treat water and wastewater mainly removes the suspended solids, pathogens and biodegradable organic matter. The majority of persistent organic pollutants are not generally removed by these processes.

Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) constitute a class of anthopogenic substances (manmade) and can be found as trace quantity elsewhere in environment. They are toxic and bio-accumulate in humans, plants, animals, and have significant adverse impacts on human health and the environment, even at very low concentrations. They may cause cancer and disorders in the reproductive and immune systems as well as affecting the human developmental process. POPs do not readily break down in the environment with half-lives in soils in the order of years, although they may be transformed both physically and chemically over long periods of time. They exist in agricultural runoff, drainage to the sewerage system and industrial discharge.

In this study, three organic pollutants were selected for investigation humic acid as natural organic matter (NOM), metsulfuron methyl herbicide as POP, and biological treated sewage effluent (BTSE).

In the first part of the study, removal of humic substance representing NOM was investigated with various types of photocatalytic reactors. The percentage of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) removal with a batch reactor with titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) as the photocatalyst ranged from 20 to 60 %. When powdered activated carbon (PAC) was added together with TiO<sub>2</sub> in the photo reactor, an improvement of more than twice DOC removal was noticed compared with the same amount of TiO<sub>2</sub> used alone. From these

results, the use of PAC - TiO<sub>2</sub> demonstrated superior removal of humic substance within a shorter contact time and higher removal efficiencies compared with using TiO<sub>2</sub> alone. Solid phase micro extraction coupled with Gas Chromatography and Flame ionisation detector (SPME/GC FID) equipped with DB-5 column was used to investigate the intermediate photo products during the photo-catalytic reaction. The manner in which intermediate photoproducts evolve and transform was demonstrated by the GC FID peak. The photo reaction can be summarised in the following way. The photo resistant by-products was adsorbed on the PAC-TiO<sub>2</sub> surface as shown in GC peak results. From DOC measurements, it is estimated that less than 25 % of the initial material remained. It is noted that during the PAC-TiO<sub>2</sub> batch process humic substance was removed immediately without forming a large amount of intermediate macro-molecules of humic substance.

In the photocatalysis continuous reactor, the humic substance removal efficiency was studied at different detention time (different flowrates). Better results were achieved at longer detention times as there was more contact time. When the PAC was added, the results also indicate that the photo-catalytic adsorption hybrid system removed a significant amount of humic substance (80% DOC removal) within a shorter contact time compared with using  $TiO_2$  alone.

In a recirculated continuous plug flow reactors the factors for controlling removal rates in heterogeneous catalysis are mass transfer and surface reaction controls. These factors were improved when a high recirculation flow rate of 250 mL/min was used where flow is turbulent. When a small amount of PAC was added in addition to TiO<sub>2</sub>, DOC removal improved to 80% in a shorter operation time of less than 10 minutes. The results with various types of reactors indicate that recirculated continuous reactor gave the highest efficiency for removal of NOM (humic substance) in a shorter detention time.

In the second part of the study, the removal efficiency of metsulfuron methyl representing persistent organic pollutants (POPs) was studied. Batch reactor experiments conducted with different doses of TiO<sub>2</sub> and a small amount of PAC of 0.05 g/L revealed that the TOC removal efficiency can be significantly increased up to 80%. Further, the concentration profile and the rate constant showed superior photocatalysis performance in the presence of PAC. The PAC added during the photo-oxidation absorbed the intermediate compounds and thereby promoted the photocatalytic oxidation. The photooxidation with a detention time of 0.5 to 2 hours resulted in intermediate products of smaller molecular weight substances. In this study, a detailed analysis with SPME/GC (solid phase micro extraction/gas chromatography) was made to study on the photo oxidation intermediates. Following 10 min of residence time in the batch reactor the MM partitioned to smaller molecular weight compounds (or substrate) which occurred at different peak times during the GC (12.10, 14.25, 17.40, 19.63 and 20.18 minutes). After 5 hours of residence time in batch reactor, same substrate was found to be degraded. The photo oxidation was faster when activated carbon was used together with TiO<sub>2</sub>. The substrate that occurred at the peak times of 19.96 and 18.32 minutes during the GC had nearly disappeared, while the peak at time 14.27 minutes was lower. Some anionic by - photoproducts was investigated by using ion-chromatography. Nitrate and nitrite ions were formed as by-photoproducts. The formation of NO3<sup>-</sup> and NO2<sup>-</sup> anions occurred was faster when PAC was added to the photo-oxidation. Similarly, SO42- ions form during the photo-oxidation of MM. Where PAC is present in the reactor, the concentration of  $SO_4^{2-}$  ions peaked earlier at approximately 50 min and thereafter reduces

its (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) concentration. The reduction in concentration of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> after 50 min may be due to a portion being adsorbed on the PAC-TiO<sub>2</sub> surface and a portion being transformed to SO<sub>2</sub>. In this study, the increase in efficiency of MM degradation is similarly attributed to the adsorption of photo-products on the more surface available with TiO<sub>2</sub> coupled with the PAC and active sites available to react with the pollutants. This reduces the competitive adsorption on active sites of PAC-TiO<sub>2</sub> increasing efficiency of degradation of MM. However, complicated photo-oxidation and by-products occur during these processes, and it is difficult to determine the actual mechanism of photo-catalytic reaction on the PAC-TiO<sub>2</sub> surface and the role of active sites because sophisticated instruments are required to do this. Experiments with recirculated continuous reactor were also conducted by using TiO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub>-PAC. The coupling of PAC with continuous heterogeneous TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysis leads to a faster degradation of MM than the heterogeneous TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysis alone. The incorporation of a small amount of PAC of 0.05 g/L with 1.5 g/L of TiO<sub>2</sub> led to 78% removal even with a short residence time of 5.25 minutes.

The granular activated carbon (GAC) filter was found to be very effective as a pretreatment for the removal of herbicide (MM). Fixed bed column experiments packed with GAC were conducted with different GAC bed heights (5, 10 and 15 cm) and different effluent velocities. The GAC photocatalytic hybrid system showed upto 90% removal with GAC bed depth of 10 and 15 cm. The 10 and 15 cm deep GAC columns showed a steady state of effluent concentration. The retention time of GAC followed by photoreaction was less than 10 minutes.

Recirculated photocatalytic batch reactor experiments conducted with the biological

treated sewage effluent showed effective DOC removal. After start up, with the recirculated flow of 60 mL/min the effluent DOC was reduced by 60% in a period of 180 min, and became relatively stable. There were no large differences between results obtained with various recirculation flow rates. About 70 to 75 % DOC removal was achieved using flow rates of 100 mL/min and 250 mL/min. However, with a recirculation flow of 250 mL/min, DOC removal decreased to 65% down from the 73% DOC removal obtained with that of 100 mL/min rate. This can be explained in terms of the characteristics of the plug flow reactor. The flow rates used in this study were large enough to keep the catalyst in suspension, and to promote good mass transfer between the reactants. When a small amount of PAC (0.05g/L) was added, a complete removal of DOC was observed after 250 and 300 min operation times. The addition of 0.05 g/L of PAC adsorbent to the recirculated continuous reactor facilitated better organic removal than titania photocatalyst alone. DOC removal was further increased to 75% within 30 min of operation.

The membrane photocatalysis hybrid system was used to separate catalyst from the effluent. The membrane flux was very low and fouling was high when  $TiO_2$  was tried to be filtered through MF filter. To facilitated  $TiO_2$  separation, (i) pH adjustment and (ii) flocculation of  $TiO_2$  slurry were used. Although the use of pH adjustment achieved effective improvement to membrane operation, it was 15% less effective than applying a pre-treatment of flocculation of  $TiO_2$  slurry. Photocatalysis and flocculation pre-treatment processes before MF/UF also resulted in high (over 90%) DOC removal, surpassing those achieved with mixed  $TiO_2$  and PAC photocatalyst.

#### Acknowledgements

First, I would like to thank my greatest appreciation to my principal supervisor, Professor Saravanmuthu Vigneswaran for his patience, time, guidance, encouragement, throughout my studies. I would like to thank my co supervisors Dr Jaya Kandasamy, Associate Professor Huu- Hao Ngo, and Prof Archie Johnson for their support and guidance during my PhD course. I am also very grateful to Prof. Archie Johnson the Dean of Faculty of Engineering for taking his precious time for correction of my PhD thesis.

Warm thanks to all my great friends, Laszlo, Shon, Guo, Rong, Vinh, Javeed, and Wen. The teamwork and friendships developed with these people contributed greatly to my happiness and fun times we shared.

Many thanks also to The Office of Education Affairs Australia, and Office of Civil Service Commission Thailand for support and help during my PhD degree.

Most importantly, thank you to my wife and my parents for their love, for their encouragement and support. Especially my wife, Sirilak, you always had time to listen and discuss all problems with me. And most of all thank you for your love.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Title page	i
Certificate	ii
Abstract	iii
Acknowledgements	viii
Table of contents	ix
Nomenclature	xiii
List of figures	XV
List of tables	xxi
CHAPTER 1- INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	2
1.2 Research Objectives	9
CHAPTER 2- LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1 Introduction	12
2.2 Organic matter in water and wastewater	12
2.2.1 General	12
2.2.2 Characteristics of organic matter in water and wastewater	13
2.2.2.1 Persistent harmful compounds	18
2.3 Typical treatment processes in removing organic pollutants from water	19
2.3.1 Coagulation/ flocculation in removing organic pollutants from water	19
2.3.2 Adsorption	22
2.4 Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs)	32
2.4.1 TiO <sub>2</sub> as catalyst	35
2.4.2 Parameter affecting Photocatalytic degradation	39
2.4.4 Previous studies on photocatalytic and adsorption photo catalytic hybrid	
systems	50
2.4.5 Membrane Photocatalytic hybrid system	53
2.8 Conclusion	57
CHAPTER 3 – EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION	59

3.1 Introduction	60
3.2 Materials	60
3.2.1 Metsulfuron Methyl	60
3.2.2 Terra-humic (Biohumic)	61
3.2.3 Wastewaters and water used in the experiments and their characteristics	62
3.2.4 Photocatalytic powder	63
3.2.5 Activated Carbon	64
3.2.6 Jar Testers for conventional treatment processes	66
3.2.7 Photocatalytic reactor	67
3.3 Analytical methods	69
3.3.1 Total organic carbon measurement	69
3.3.2 Ion Chromatograph analyzer	70
3.3.4 Solid Phase Micro Extraction / Gas chromatography FID (SPME/GC)	70
3.3.5 Zetapotential measurement	73
3.3.6 Molecular Weight (MW) Distribution	76
CHAPTER 4 – PHOTO OXIDATION OF HUMIC SUBSTANCES IN WATER	78
4.1 Introduction	79
4.1.1 Natural organic matter (NOM) in water	79
4.2 Experimental units, materials and analysis	83
4.2.1 Photocatalysis batch reactor	83
4.2.2 Photocatalysis continuous reactors	84
4.2.3 Recirculated Photocatalysis continuous reactors	85
4.2.4 Analysis	86
4.3 Experimental description	87
4.3. Coagulation and Coagulation- Photocatalytic reaction	90
4.4 Effect of $TiO_2$ concentration on photocatalytic reaction	92
4.5 Effect of pH on photocatalytic reaction	99
4.6 PAC, PAC as pre-treatment, and $TiO_2$ coupled with low concentration of PAC	C on
photocatalytic reaction	100
4.7 photocatalytic continuous reactor	108
4.8 Recirculated photocatalytic continuous reactor	110
	<ul> <li>3.2 Materials</li> <li>3.2.1 Metsulfuron Methyl</li> <li>3.2.2 Terra-humic (Biohumic)</li> <li>3.2.3 Wastewaters and water used in the experiments and their characteristics</li> <li>3.2.4 Photocatalytic powder</li> <li>3.2.5 Activated Carbon</li> <li>3.2.6 Jar Testers for conventional treatment processes</li> <li>3.2.7 Photocatalytic reactor</li> <li>3.3 Analytical methods</li> <li>3.3.1 Total organic carbon measurement</li> <li>3.3.2 Ion Chromatograph analyzer</li> <li>3.3.4 Solid Phase Micro Extraction / Gas chromatography FID (SPME/GC)</li> <li>3.3.5 Zetapotential measurement</li> <li>3.3.6 Molecular Weight (MW) Distribution</li> <li>CHAPTER 4 – PHOTO OXIDATION OF HUMIC SUBSTANCES IN WATER</li> <li>4.1 Introduction</li> <li>4.1.1 Natural organic matter (NOM) in water</li> <li>4.2 Experimental units, materials and analysis</li> <li>4.2.1 Photocatalysis batch reactor</li> <li>4.2.2 Photocatalysis continuous reactors</li> <li>4.2.4 Analysis</li> <li>4.3 Experimental description</li> <li>4.3 Coagulation and Coagulation- Photocatalytic reaction</li> <li>4.4 Effect of TiO<sub>2</sub> concentration on photocatalytic reaction</li> <li>4.5 Effect of pH on photocatalytic reaction</li> <li>4.6 PAC, PAC as pre-treatment, and TiO<sub>2</sub> coupled with low concentration of PAC photocatalytic reaction</li> <li>4.7 photocatalytic continuous reactor</li> </ul>

4.9 Conclusion	112
CHAPTER 5 – PHOTO OXIDATION OF HERBICIDE IN WATER	114
<ul><li>5.1 Introduction</li><li>5.1.1 Herbicide in water</li></ul>	115 115
5.1.2 Langmuir – Heinshelwood model	116
<ul><li>5.2 Experimental units, materials and analysis</li><li>5.2.1 Analysis</li></ul>	118 118
<ul> <li>5.3 Experimental description</li> <li>5.3.1 Effect of TiO<sub>2</sub> concentration on photocatalytic reaction in Batch React</li> <li>5.3.2 Molecular weight distribution of photodegraded products</li> </ul>	120 tor 122 125
5.4 Effect of pH on photocatalytic reaction	126
5.5 PAC adsorption and $TiO_2$ coupled with low concentration of PAC on photocatalytic reaction	127
5.6 SPME /GC- FID analysis of the effect of $TiO_2$ and $TiO_2$ - PAC in batch phoreactor	oto 130
5.7 Effect of inorganic analysis of $TiO_2$ and $TiO_2$ - PAC in batch reactor	141
5.8 Recirculated continuous photocatalytic reactor	143
5.9 Conclusion CHAPTER 6 – GAC PHOTOCATALYSIS HYBRID SYSTEM	149 150
<ul><li>6.1 Introduction</li><li>6.1.1 Adsorption equilibria</li></ul>	151 152
<ul><li>6.2 Experimental units, materials and analysis</li><li>6.2.1 Experimental set up and description</li><li>6.2.2 Analysis</li></ul>	155 155 159
<ul><li>6.3 GAC adsorption results</li><li>6.3.1 Adsorption equilibrium</li></ul>	159 159
<ul><li>6.3.2 Effect of Filtration Rate in GAC column</li><li>6.3.3 Effect of Filter bed depth with GAC column</li></ul>	161 162
<ul><li>6.3.4 Fixed Bed Adsorption Mathematical Model</li><li>6.4 Continuous Photocatalysis System</li></ul>	163 170

6.5 GAC Photocatalysis Hybrid System	171
<ul><li>6.6 Conclusion</li><li>CHAPTER 7- MEMBRANE PHOTOCATALYTIC HYBRID SYSTEM</li><li>7.1 Introduction</li></ul>	177 178 179
<ul><li>7.2 Experimental units, materials and analysis</li><li>7.2.2 Membrane photo catalytic hybrid system</li></ul>	181 182
Analyses	184
<ul> <li>7.3 Results and Discussions</li> <li>7.3.1Recirculated plug flow photocatalysis batch reactor</li> <li>7.3.1 Recirculated continuous photocatalysis with TiO<sub>2</sub></li> <li>7.3.2 Photocatalysis in the presence of PAC adsorbent</li> <li>7.3.3 Surface characterisation of photocatalyst suspensions</li> <li>7.3.4 Photocatalyst separation</li> <li>7.4. Conclusions</li> <li>CHAPTER 8 - CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</li> </ul>	184 184 186 189 190 191 202 204
<ul><li>8.1 Conclusions</li><li>8.2 Recommendations</li></ul>	205 209
Appendix A	209
Appendix A.1 Solid phase micro filtration (SPME) coupled with Gas Chromatography as an analytical technique for organic intermediates of photooxidation.	212
Appendix B References	218 220
	220

### Nomenclature

a	: isotherm constants
b	: constant related to the binding energy of adsorption (L/mg)
BV	: bed volume
С	: concentration in bulk solution, mg/L
C <sub>b</sub>	: desired concentration of adsorbate at breakthrough (mg/L)
Ce	: equilibrium concentration (mg/L)
C <sub>ef</sub>	: effluent adsorbate concentration (mg/L)
C <sub>if</sub>	: influent adsorbate concentration (mg/L)
Co	: initial concentration (mg/L)
Ct	: final concentration (mg/L)
d	: depth of adsorbent's bed (m)
$D_{x}$	: dispersion coefficient in x direction $(m^2/s)$
DOC	: Dissolved organic carbon concentration (mg/L)
EBCT	: empty bed contact time
k	: apparent photodegradation rate constant (min <sup>-1</sup> )
$K_{Ad}$	: the adsorption coefficient
K <sub>d</sub>	: linear equilibrium partitioning coefficient (L/mg)
k <sub>f</sub>	: external film mass transfer coefficient of organic, m/s
$K_F$	: Freudlich constant indicative of the adsorption capacity
K <sub>S</sub>	: the Monod half velocity coefficient
ks	: particle phase mass transfer coefficient (1/s)
m	: amount of adsorbent (g)
М	: Weight of adsorbent (g)
n	: experimental constant indicative of the adsorption intensity
n <sub>T</sub>	: effective porosity (dimensionless)
	: solid density of the particles (mg/L)

q <sub>t</sub>	: amount of adsorbate at any time t, (mg/g)
q <sub>e</sub>	: amount of adsorbate at equilibrium (mg/g)
q <sub>m</sub>	: saturated maximum adsorption capacity (mg/g)
qs	: adsorbed phase concentration at the external surface of
	adsorbent particle (mg/g)
Q	: volumetric flow rate (L/min)
R	: radius of adsorbent particle, m
r	: correlation coefficient
t	: service time of column (h)
Т	: temperature
u	: velocity of the fluid (m/s)
V	: throughput volume (L)
ε <sub>b</sub>	: bed porosity
α	: dispersivity (m)
Z	: bed depth, (m)

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Different fractions of DOC and their constituents (adapted from Thurman,
1985; Cho, 1998; Shon et al. 2006)16
Figure 2.2 Size range in the applied treatments in treating organic matters (Shon, 2006)
Figure 2.3 MW distribution of synthetic wastewater after ferric chloride (Shon et al.
2005)
Figure 2.4 structures of (a) Resorcinol (MW. 110) and (b) Phloroglucinol (MW. 126) 22
Firure 2.5 The possible electric charge in TiO2 and AC (Arana et al. 2003a)26
Figure 2.6 Chemical and physical steps involved in the heterogeneous photocatalysis
adapted from (Moulijn, Leeuwen & Santen 1993)
Figure 2.7 Different Types of photo reactors
Figure 2.8 Various types of membrane photcatalytic hybrid systems
Figure 3.1 MW size distribution of Biohumic from the Bioiberica Company
Figure 3.2 Schematic of jar testers used for conventional process testing in this study.67
Figure 3.3 Spectral energy distribution of Sankyo Denki germicidal lamp68
Figure 3.4 Schematic of stainless steel unit reactor unit (not scale) total volume of 70
mL
Figure 3.5 Multi N/C 2000 analyzer (Analytik Jena AG)70
Figure 3.6 Ion Chromatograph (Dionex DX-600)71
Figure 3.7 a. Gas Chromatography FID (Varian star 3400 CX)72
Figure 3.7 b. SPME fibre 5% phenyl methylpolysiloxane with a film thickness of 100
μm non-bonded72
Figure 3.7 c. Gas chromatography with SPME 0.75-mm diameter splitless glass inlet
liner
Figure 3.8 Zeta potential between liquid layer and particle (Malvern Instrument LTD
2003)
Figure 3.9 The plot of zeta potential versus pH and illustrated isoelectric point adapted
from (Malvern Instrument LTD 2003)75
Figure 3.10 Zetapotential analyzer (Zetasizer Malvern 2000)75
Figure 3.11 High performance size exclusion chromatography (HPSEC) to measure
MW distribution77

Figure 4.1 Structure of fulvic (4.1a) (BuffleJ.A.E 1977) and humic acids (4.1b)
(Stevenson 1994)
Figure 4.2 Schematic of the photo-catalytic batch reactor
Figure 4.3 Schematic of continuous reactor (T1: Mixing tank with no light source; Q1:
influent and withdrawal rate; R: photo-catalytic reactor unit; L1, L2, L3 are UV
lamps of 8 watts each)84
Figure 4.4 Schematic of the recirculating continuous flow photo-catalytic reactor with
the catalyst. (T1: Mixing tank with no light source; Q1: influent and withdrawal
rate; Q2: re- circulation flow; T2: re- circulation tank; R: photo-catalytic reactor
unit; L1, L2, L3 are UV lamps of 8 watts each)85
Figure 4.5 % DOC removal of NOM by FeCl <sub>3</sub> flocculation (influent TOC = $10 \text{ mg/L}$ ).
Figure 4.6 FeCl <sub>3</sub> flocculation as a pre treatment followed by photocatalytic reaction
(2g/L TiO <sub>2</sub> )92
Figure 4.7 NOM (as %DOC) removal with different concentration of $TiO_2$ without UV
Figure 4.8 NOM (as %DOC) removal with different concentration of $TiO_2$ 94
Figure 4.9 SPME GC peak at 5 min
Figure 4.10 SPME GC peak at 15 min96
Figure 4.11 SPME GC peak at 40 min97
Figure 4.12 SPME GC peak at 90 min97
Figure 4.13 SPME GC peak at 180 min
Figure 4.14 SPME GC peak at 240 min
Figure 4.15 NOM removal with 2 g/L of $TiO_2$ (as % DOC) at different initial levels of
pH in batch reactor
Figure 4.16 NOM (as % DOC) removal at different concentrations of PAC101
Figure 4.17 NOM (as %DOC) removal by using PAC as pre-treatment follow by batch
photocatalytic reactor
Figure 4.18 NOM removal (as % DOC) with 0.05 g/L PAC and different concentration
of TiO2
Figure 4.19 SPME/GC peaks PAC- TiO <sub>2</sub> at 5 min105
Figure 4.20 SPME/GC peaks PAC- TiO <sub>2</sub> at 15 min105
Figure 4.21 SPME/GC peaks at 40 min106
Figure 4.22 SPME/GC peaks at 90 min106

Figure 4.23 SPME/GC peaks at 150 min107
Figure 4.24 SPME/GC peaks at 210 min107
Figure 4.25 NOM removal (as % DOC) with 2 g/L of $TiO_2$ by continuous reactor in
various flowrates108
Fig 4.26 NOM removal (as %DOC) with 2 g/L of TiO <sub>2</sub> and 0.05 g/L of PAC by
continuous reactor in various flow rates109
Figure 4.27 NOM as (% DOC) removal in Recalculated continuous flow reactor at
withdrawal rate of 20 mL/min111
Figure 4.28 NOM as ( %DOC) removal in Recalculated continuous flow reactor at
withdrawal rate of 40 mL/min112
Figure 5.1 The structure of Metsulfuron-methyl methyl 2-[[[(4-methoxy-6-methyl-
1,3,5-triazin-2-akyl)amino]carbonyl]amino]sulfonyl]benzoate (MM), Molecular
weight 381.36116
Figure 5.1 Removal Metsulfuron methyl (MM) in the absence of UV light (TOC of the
influent of MM solution = 10mg/L)123
Figure 5.2 Removal Metsulfuron methyl (MM) in the presence of UV light with
different concentrations $TiO_2$ (TOC of the influent of MM solution = 10mg/L). 123
Figure 5.3 Test of pseudo- first order rate constants according to Eq (5.4) for different
doses of TiO <sub>2</sub> concentration
Figure. 5.4 Variation of MW distribution at different photooxidation time
Figure 5.5 MM removal with 2 g/L of $TiO_2$ (as DOC) at different initial levels of pH in
batch reactor
Figure 5.6 DOC removal of metsulfuron- methyl by adsorption with different
concentration of PAC (TOC of influent MM concentration = 10 mg/L)128
Figure 5.7 DOC removal of metsulfuron-methyl129
Figure 5.8 Test of pseudo- first order rate constants according to Eq (5.4) for different
doses of $TiO_2$ concentration
Figure 5.9 SPME GC peak of metsulfuron methyl at 5 min with $TiO_2 2g/L$ 132
Figure 5.10 SPME GC peak of metsulfuron methyl at 10 min with $TiO_2 2g/L \dots 132$
Figure 5.11 SPME GC peak of metsulfuron methyl at 30 min with $TiO_2 2g/L$ 133
Figure 5.12 SPME GC peak of metsulfuron methyl at 1 hour with TiO <sub>2</sub> 2g/L133
Figure 5.13 SPME GC peak of metsulfuron methyl at 2 hour with $TiO_2 2g/L$ 134
Figure 5.14 SPME GC peak of metsulfuron methyl at 3 hour with $TiO_2 2g/L$ 134
Figure 5.15 SPME GC peak of metsulfuron methyl at 5 hour with TiO <sub>2</sub> 2g/L

Figure 5.16 SPME GC peak of metsulfuron methyl at 7 hour with $TiO_2 2g/L$ 135
Figure 5.17 SPME GC peak of metsulfuron methyl at 5 minutes with TiO <sub>2</sub> 2g/L and
0.05 g/L PAC
Figure 5.18 SPME GC peak of metsulfuron methyl at 10 minutes with TiO <sub>2</sub> $2g/L$ and
0.05 g/L PAC
Figure 5.19 SPME GC peak of metsulfuron methyl at 30 minutes with $TiO_2 2g/L$ and
0.05 g/L PAC
Figure 5.20 SPME GC peak of metsulfuron methyl at 1 hour with $TiO_2 2g/L$ and 0.05
g/L PAC
Figure 5.21 SPME GC peak of metsulfuron methyl at 2 hour with $TiO_2 2g/L$ and 0.05
g/L PAC
Figure 5.22 SPME GC peak of metsulfuron methyl at 3 hour with $TiO_2 2g/L$ and 0.05
g/L PAC
Figure 5.23 SPME GC peak of metsulfuron methyl at 5 hour with TiO <sub>2</sub> 2g/L and 0.05
g/L PAC
Figure 5.24 SPME GC peak of metsulfuron methyl at 7 hour with TiO <sub>2</sub> 2g/L and 0.05
g/L PAC
Figure 5.25 Formation of (a) nitrate and (b) nitrite during the batch photocatalysis batch
system (2g/L of TiO <sub>2</sub> alone (solid line) and 2 g/L TiO <sub>2</sub> with 0.05 g/L of PAC
(dotted line))141
Figure 5.26 Formation of sulfate anion by using TiO <sub>2</sub> 1 g/L (solid line) and 1 g/L TiO <sub>2</sub>
with PAC 0.05 g/L (dotted line)142
Figure 5.27 (a-c) DOC removal by recirculated continuous photo-catalytic reactor145
Figure 5.28 a-b. Test of pseudo- first order rate constants according to Equation (9) at
different withdrawal rate and (a) with $TiO_2$ alone and (b) $TiO_2$ and 0.05 g/L PAC.
Figure 6.1 Comparison between Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm (Cooney, 1999) 154
Figure 6.2 Schematic of GAC adsorption system
Figure 6.3 Schematic of GAC photocatalysis hybrid system
Figure 6.4 Adsorption equilibrium of metsulfuron methyl by GAC with different
isotherm models (contact time = 72 hours, mixing rate 130 rpm, temperature $25^{\circ}$ C)
Figure 6.5 Influence of filtration rate on the performance of adsorption of a 5 cm deep
GAC bed. Average influent TOC= 10mg /L161

Figure 6.6 Variation of TOC removal efficiency of GAC adsorption for different GAC
bed depth. Filtration rate is 4m/h
Figure 6.7 Variation of $C/C_0$ of the GAC filter for various filter bed depths
Also shown is the fit between experimental data and model simulation for variation in
bed depth. Filtration rate = 4 m/hr, average influent TOC = 10 mg/L. $k_f = 1.2e^{-5}$
and $k_s = 4e^{-8}$ for all modelling runs
Figure 6.8 Variation of $C/C_0$ of the GAC filter for various filtration rates. Also shown
is the fit between experimental data and model simulation for variation in bed
depth. Bed depth = 5 cm, average influent TOC = 10 mg/L168
Figure 6.9 DOC removal by continuous photo catalytic reactor at various flow rates of
4, 10, 20 and 40 ml/min
Figure 6.10 Comparison of DOC removal of MM herbicide with flow rate 4 ml/ min and
10 mL/min with 5 cm deep bed of GAC175
Figure 6.11 Comparison of DOC removal of MM herbicide with flow rate (A) 20 ml/ min
and (B) 40 mL/min with 5 cm deep bed of GAC175
Figure 6.12 Comparison of DOC removal of MM herbicide after 10 cm deep bed of GAC.
Figure 6.13 Comparison of DOC removal of MM herbicide after 15 cm deep bed of GAC.
Figure 7.1 Schematic of photocatalytic batch reactor system
Figure 7.2 Schematic of the MF/UF experimental unit
Figure 7.3 DOC removal of synthetic wastewater with various flow rates (conditions
TiO <sub>2</sub> = 1.5 g/L, Q1 = 60, 100, 250 mL/min, R ; L1, L2,L3 = 8 Watts UV Lamps
each)
Figure 7.4 DOC removal of synthetic wastewater with various flow rates (conditions;
$TiO_2 = 1.5$ g/L, and PAC 0.05 g/L Q1 = 60, 100, 250 mL/min, L1, L2, L3 = 8
Watts UV Lamps)185
Figure 7.5 Photocatalytic DOC removal with 1.5 g/L TiO2 catalyst (Figure 4.4 (Q1 = $10$ ,
20, 40 mL/min, Q2 150 mL/min R: photo-catalytic reactor unit; L1, L2, L3 are UV
lamps of 8 watts each)187
Figure 7.6 Photocatalytic DOC removal with 1.5 g/L TiO2 and 0.05 g/L PAC (Figure
4.4 (Q1 =10, 20, 30, 40 mL/min, Q2 150 mL/min R: photo-catalytic reactor unit;
L1, L2, L3 are UV lamps of 8 watts each)

Figure 7.7 Variation of zeta potential (ZP) with a treated suspension (1.5 g/L of $TiO_2$ )
Figure 7.8 Screen showed stepwise method increase of flux, 10 to 15 min monitoring of
the TMP trendline (start at 2.09.32 pm. end at 2.25 45 pm.)
Figure 7.9 second screen showed stepwise method increase of flux, 10 to 15 min
monitoring of the TMP trendline (start at 2.09.46 pm. end at 2.30.02 pm.)194
Figure 7.10 Third screen showed stepwise method increase of flux, 10 to 15 min
monitoring of the TMP trendline (start at 2.28.07 pm. end at 2.40.30 pm.)
Figure 7.11 Fourth screen showed stable TMP trend, and this value was reported as the
critical flux
Figure 7.12 Settled turbidity versus coagulant dosage (wastewater slurry with 1.5 g/L of
TiO <sub>2</sub> (Feed 40 mL/min; Feed 2 10mL/min)198
Figure 7.13 Effect of coagulant dosage on ZP and pH feed 1199
Figure 7.14 %DOC removal with different coagulant dosage with raw synthetic waste
water
Figure 7.15. Critical fluxes 1: raw wastewater, 2: Feed 1 pH adjusted, 3: Feed 2 pH
adjusted, 4: Feed 1 flocculated, 5: Feed 2 flocculated, 6: Feed 3 ( photocatalyst
together with PAC)201

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Removal of EDC and PPCP from BTSE by PAC adsorption (adapted from
Snyder et al., 2006; Westerhoff et al., 2005, Shon et al., 2006)25
Table 2.2 Removal of EDC and PPCP with full scale GAC biofilter (ng/L) (Snyder et
al., 2006; Shon etal., 2006)
Table 2.3 Typical biofilter design parameters used in tertiary wastewater and surface
water treatment (adapted from Rachwal et al., 1996)
Table 2.4 List of the DOC removal by filtration with biological treated sewage effluent
(BTSE)
Table 2.5 Advanced oxidation processes used in water treatment (Shon et al ., 2006)33
Table 2.6 Bandgap energies from some common semiconductor materials at 0 K
(Adapted from Serpone and Pelizzetti 1989 and Schiavello and Sclafani 1997,
Sakthivel et al. 2000, Thiruvenkatachari et al. 2007)
Table 2.7 Overview of various reactor types    48
Table 2.8 Review of organic pollutants removal by AOPs hybrid system adapted from
Shon et al., 2006
Table 2.9 Easily oxidized organic compounds by photocatalytic processes (adapted
from Pirkanniemi and Sillanpää, 2002; Shon et al., 2006)52
Table 3.1 Specification of Metsulfuron methyl    61
Table 3.2 Constituents of synthetic wastewater that used inexperiments
Table 3.3 Characteristics of the $TiO_2$ P25 Degussa photcatalytic powder used in the
present experiments
Table 3.4 Characteristics of Powdered Activated Carbon (PAC) used (James Cumming
& Sons Pty Ltd., Australia)65
Table 3.5 Physical properties of granular activated carbon GAC (Calgon Carbon Corp.,
USA)
Table 3.6 Sankyo Denki UV lamp specification
Table 4.1 pH and photocatalysis    99
Table 5.1 Variation of rate constant at different concentrations of TiO2.       125
Table 5.2 Apparent rate constants obtained at different concentrations of $TiO_2$ and at

Table 5.3 DOC removal at different detention time (MM concentration =10 mg/L, TiO <sub>2</sub>
= 1.5  g/L, PAC = 0.05  g/L)143
Table 5.4 Variation of pseudo first order rate constant obtained from Equation (9) at
different withdrawal rates of 1.5 g/L TiO2148
Table 5.5 Variation of pseudo first order rate constant obtained from Equation (9) at
different withdrawal rate of 1.5 g/L TiO <sub>2</sub> and 0.05 g/L PAC148
Table 6.1 Equilibrium adsorption isotherm parameters    159
Table 6.2Parameters used for model simulation of GAC filter
Table 6.3 DOC removal at different retention time (MM concentration = $10 \text{ mg/L}$ , TiO <sub>2</sub>
=1.5 g/L , reactor volume 210 mL, 8 W UV light three lamps)171
Table 6.4 DOC removal at different retention time (MM concentration =10 mg/L, TiO <sub>2</sub>
= 1.5 g/L, PAC = 0.05 g/L , reactor volume 210 mL, 8 watts UV light, 3 lamps and
after GAC adsorption at bed depths of 5, 10, and 15 cm
Table 7. 1. Characteristics of raw wastewater and $TiO_2$ treated suspensions
Table 7.2. Jar test results with 1 g/L of $TiO_2$ treated suspensions
Table A.1 Limits of detection for 50 minute extraction of selected herbicides adapted
from (Boyd-Boland & Pawliszyn 1995)213
Table A. 2 Relative factor of increase of K at humic acid concentration $(C_H, in mg/L)$
of 0,20,100,500 mg/L; expected relative factor of increase based on the mass
balance ( $C_{calc}$ ) and the logarithm of the octanol – water partitioning coefficient (log
$K_{ow}$ applied in the mass balance (Dewulf, Van Langenhove & Evaraert 1997)215
Table A.3 Application of SPME/ GC to the identification of herbicides in aqueous
sample (LOD; limit of detection, DI; direct insertion, HS; head space, PDMS;
polydimethylsiloxane, PA; polyacrylate, DVB; Divinylbenzene, CAR; Carboxen,
CW; Carbowax, TPR; Templated resin )217