

# **Adaptive Power Control for UMTS**

**Rachod Patachaianand**

**Supervisor: Dr Kumbesan Sandrasegaran**

**March 2007**

A thesis submitted in part fulfillment of the degree of  
Master of Engineering by Research at  
The Faculty of Engineering  
University of Technology, Sydney



## Certificate of Originality

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

Production Note:  
Signature removed prior to publication.

## Acknowledgement

Firstly, I would like to thank Dr. Kumbesan Sandrasegaran, my supervisor, for the great supports in both general and academic aspects he has given me throughout the years. During my first semester at UTS in Master of Engineering Studies in Autumn 2005, the first subject I had studied was 48048 Wireless Technologies delivered by him. I have gained a lot of knowledge from this subject. In the next semester, I enrolled in the UMTS subject created by him. The earliest version of UMTS programming was developed during this subject. The opportunity he gave me to be involved in a subject development for the 3G/UMTS subject during Summer 2005 provides me a great improvement in my knowledge in both MATLAB programming and UMTS networks. Our first publication was created as a result of the summer work. Later, in Spring 2006, he gave me an opportunity to be a tutor in the 3G/UMTS subject and let me develop a set of laboratory documents based on MATLAB. These activities led me to have much clearer ideas in MATLAB programming for simulating UMTS networks. The second and third papers were published as a result of these activities. Furthermore, during Summer 2006, he let me involved in the ICT Vocation Research Scholarship. An IET Electronic Letter was a result of the summer research. He spent a huge amount of time to review this thesis and gave me a lot of comments and suggestions to improve it. I respect him a lot and hope to do Ph.D. research with him in the near future.

I also would like to thank Dr. Tracy Tung who had given a lot of comments and suggestions on my research in every weekly meeting.

I would like to thank my friends Mo, Wu, Prashant, and Bill who reviewed drafts of my thesis.

Lastly, I would like to give grateful thank to my parents, Ampol and Sunee, who support and encourage me to achieve as high education as they can, and my sister Rasana who helps me while studying in Australia.

# Table of Contents

Abstract .....	xii
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b>	
1.1 Brief History of Cellular Systems .....	1
1.2 WCDMA .....	2
1.3 Power Control in UMTS .....	2
1.4 Problem Statements and Research Objectives .....	5
1.5 Thesis Outline .....	6
1.6 Original Contributions .....	7
1.7 List of Publications .....	8
 <b>Chapter 2 Backgrounds</b>	
2.1 UMTS Architecture .....	10
2.1.1 User Equipment .....	10
2.1.2 UMTS Terrestrial Radio Access Network .....	11
2.1.3 Core Network .....	12
2.2 WCDMA	12
2.3 WCDMA Capacity .....	14
2.4 WCDMA Interference .....	16
2.5 Radio Propagation Models .....	18
2.5.1 Path loss .....	18
2.5.2 Shadowing .....	20
2.5.3 Multipath Fading .....	21
2.6 Radio Resource Management .....	24
2.6.1 Admission Control .....	25
2.6.2 Congestion Control or Load Control .....	26
2.6.3 Handover Control .....	27
2.6.4 Power Control .....	29
2.6.4.1 Open-loop PC .....	30

2.6.5 Packet Scheduling .....	33
2.7 Uplink Frame Structure in UMTS .....	34
2.8 Summary .....	34

## Chapter 3 Power Control in UMTS

3.1 Introduction.....	36
3.2 UMTS Inner-Loop Power Control.....	37
3.3 Characteristics of Received Signal with FSPC.....	40
3.4 Power Control Error and Its Effects on System Performance .....	46
3.4.1 Effect of Power Control Error on BER Performance .....	47
3.4.2 Effect of Power Control Error on the Interference Level.....	50
3.4.3 Effect of Power Control Error on the System Capacity.....	52
3.4.4 Effect of Power Control Error on SIR target setting.....	53
3.5 Limitations in of Power Control in UMTS .....	55
3.5.1 Limited Signalling Bandwidth .....	55
3.5.2 Limited Stepsize .....	56
3.5.3 Granular Noise .....	57
3.5.4 Power Control Loop Delay.....	59
3.5.5 SIR Estimation Error .....	59
3.5.6 Errors in TPC Commands .....	60
3.6 Effect of Fixed Stepsize on the Power Control Performance.....	60
3.7 Summary.....	61

## Chapter 4 Adaptive Power Control in UMTS

4.1 Introduction.....	63
4.2 Review of Adaptive Power Control Algorithms.....	64
4.2.1 Lee's Adaptive Step Size Power Control (LAPC).....	65
4.2.2 Kim's Adaptive Step Size Power Control (KAPC).....	66
4.2.3 3-bit Adaptive Step Size Power Control (3BAPC).....	66
4.2.4 Blind Adaptive Closed-Loop Power Control (BA-CLPC) .....	67
4.2.5 Speed Adapted Closed-Loop Power Control (SA-CLPC).....	68

4.2.6	Mobility Based Adaptive Closed-Loop PC (M-ACLPC) .....	69
4.3	Proposed Adaptive Power Control Algorithm .....	70
4.4	Performance of Proposed Adaptive Power Control (PAPC).....	72
4.5	Performance Comparison .....	82
4.5.1	Performance Comparison: Unknown Speed .....	83
4.5.2	Performance Comparison: Known Speed .....	86
4.5.3	Overall Performance Comparison .....	90
4.6	Summary.....	93

## Chapter 5 Adaptive Power Control in Presence of Loop Delays

5.1	Introduction.....	94
5.2	Time Delay Compensation and Its Applications.....	94
5.3	Performance Comparison in Presence of Known Loop Delays.....	99
5.3.1	Performance Comparison in Presence of Known Loop Delays and Unknown speeds .....	100
5.3.2	Performance Comparison in Presence of Known Loop Delays and Known Speeds.....	102
5.3.3	Performance Comparison in Presence of Known Loop Delays for All Algorithms .....	106
5.4	Performance Comparison in Presence of Unknown Delays .....	108
5.5	Partial Time Delay Compensation .....	114
5.5.1	Partial Time Delay Compensation Algorithm.....	116
5.5.2	Performance Comparison of PAPC with the Aid of PTDC..	119
5.6	Implementation of PAPC and PT-APC .....	121
5.7	Summary.....	122

## Chapter 6 Consecutive Transmit Power Control Ratio Aided Speed Estimation for UMTS

6.1	Introduction.....	123
6.2	Correlations between TPC and Maximum Doppler Frequency.....	124



6.3 Consecutive TPC Ratio.....	126
6.4 CTR Aided Speed Estimation Based on Fixed Stepsize .....	129
6.4.1 CTR Aided Speed Estimation Using Lookup Table .....	129
6.4.2 R Aided Speed Estimation Using Mapping Equation .....	132
6.5 CTR Aided Adaptive Power Control Algorithm .....	135
6.6 CTR Aided Speed Estimation Based on Adaptive Stepsize .....	140
6.7 Summary .....	143

## Chapter 7 Conclusion

7.1 Conclusion .....	145
7.2 Major Contributions.....	147
7.3 Future Work.....	147
Reference .....	150

<b>Figure 1.1</b> The near-far problem	3
<b>Figure 1.2</b> The received signal pov	4
<b>Figure 2.1</b> UMTS network	10
<b>Figure 2.2</b> UMTS infrastructure ne	11
<b>Figure 2.3</b> Spreading at the transm	13
<b>Figure 2.4</b> Spreading and de-sprea	13
<b>Figure 2.5</b> Noise rise as a function	17
<b>Figure 2.6</b> Radio propagation mod	18
<b>Figure 2.7</b> Multipath propagation	21
<b>Figure 2.8</b> Multipath fading envelc	22
<b>Figure 2.9</b> Channel gains in multip	23
<b>Table 2.1</b> Time scale of the differe	25
<b>Figure 2.10</b> UMTS admission con	26
<b>Figure 2.11</b> (a) Overload situation	26
<b>Figure 2.12</b> Power control in UMT	29
<b>Figure 2.13</b> Open-loop power cont	30
<b>Figure 2.14</b> Outer-loop power con	31
<b>Figure 2.15</b> Uplink inner-loop pov	32
<b>Figure 2.16</b> UMTS uplink frame s	33
<b>Figure 3.1</b> Power control in UMTS	39
<b>Figure 3.2</b> (a) Fading gains of two	40
<b>Figure 3.3</b> Fading gain and inverse	41
<b>Figure 3.4</b> Normalised received SI	42
<b>Figure 3.5</b> Tracking ability of UE :	43
<b>Figure 3.6</b> Gradient of UE2 fading	44
<b>Figure 3.7</b> Normalised received SI	45
<b>Figure 3.8</b> Interference seen by UE	45
<b>Figure 3.9</b> PCE as a function of us	47



<b>Figure 3.10</b>	BER performance of a single user in a single cell WCDMA	48
<b>Figure 3.11</b>	BER performance as a function of PCE	49
<b>Figure 3.12</b>	Total interference when users are pedestrian compared with the theory	51
<b>Figure 3.13.</b>	The total interference and the theoretical interference	52
<b>Figure 3.14</b>	PDF of received SIR of two power control algorithm, PC1 and PC2	54
<b>Figure 3.15</b>	Power gains and the gradients	57
<b>Figure 3.16</b>	Granular noise	58
<b>Figure 3.17</b>	PCE versus stepsizes and user speeds	61
<b>Figure 4.1</b>	Blind Adaptive Closed-Loop Power Control flow chart [42]	68
<b>Table 4.1</b>	The optimal step size for each user speed	69
<b>Table 4.2</b>	Step size adaptation factor of M-ACLPC [45]	69
<b>Figure 4.2</b>	Proposed adaptive power control algorithm	71
<b>Figure 4.3</b>	Performance of PAPC compared with 1dB FSPC	72
<b>Figure 4.4</b>	Tracking performance of PAPC and 1dB FSPC at 5 km/h	73
<b>Figure 4.5</b>	Adaptive power control stepsize at UE speed of 5 km/h	75
<b>Figure 4.6</b>	Tracking performance of the proposed algorithm at 10 km/h	76
<b>Figure 4.7</b>	Adaptive stepsize at 10km/h	77
<b>Figure 4.8</b>	Tracking performance of PAPC and 1DB FSPC at 20 km/h	78
<b>Figure 4.9</b>	Received SIR comparison of PAPC and 1dB FSPC at 20 km/h	79
<b>Figure 4.10</b>	The dynamic stepsize adjustment of PAPC at 20 km/h	79
<b>Figure 4.11</b>	Tracking performance of PAPC at 40 km/h	81
<b>Figure 4.12</b>	Received SIR comparison of PAPC and 1dB FSPC at 20 km/h	81
<b>Figure 4.13</b>	The dynamic stepsize adjustment of PAPC at 40 km/h	82
<b>Figure 4.14</b>	Performance comparison in slow-speed environment, unknown speed	84
<b>Figure 4.15</b>	Performance comparison for entire range of speeds, unknown speed	85
<b>Figure 4.16</b>	Performance comparison in slow-speed environment, known speed	86
<b>Figure 4.17</b>	Performance comparison for entire range of speeds, known speed	87
<b>Figure 4.18</b>	Average of $\delta(t)$ of PAPC compared to the optimal fixed step	89
<b>Figure 4.19</b>	Performance comparison for slow speeds, all algorithms	90
<b>Figure 4.20</b>	Performance comparison for entire range of speeds, all algorithms	91
<b>Table 4.3</b>	Performance Score of five adaptive power control algorithms	92
<b>Figure 4.21</b>	Performance Score of five power control algorithms	92

<b>Figure 5.1</b> Power control errors caused by additional loop delay	96
<b>Figure 5.2</b> Adaptive power control with TDC	97
<b>Figure 5.3</b> 1dB fixed stepsize power control (FSPC) with and without TDC	98
<b>Figure 5.4</b> Performance comparison for slow-speed users, unknown speed	100
<b>Figure 5.5</b> Performance comparison for entire ranged of speeds, unknown speed	101
<b>Figure 5.6</b> Performance of various fixed stepsize when TDC is applied, $T_d=2T_p$	103
<b>Figure 5.7</b> The optimal stepsize for SA-CLPC in presence of delay and use of TDC	104
<b>Figure 5.8</b> Performance comparison for slow-speed users, known speed	105
<b>Figure 5.9</b> Performance comparison for entire ranged of speeds, known speed	106
<b>Figure 5.10</b> Performance comparison when $T_d=2T_p$ with at TDC, slow-speed	107
<b>Figure 5.11</b> Performance comparison when $T_d=2T_p$ with TDC, entire speed	107
<b>Figure 5.12</b> Performance of PAPC, BA-CLPC, KAPC, and FSPC in the presence of unknown delay, $T_d= 2 T_p$	109
<b>Figure 5.13</b> Performance of various fixed stepsize in the presence of unknown delays ( $T_d=2T_p$ )	111
<b>Figure 5.14</b> The optimal fixed stepsize in the presence of unknown delay, $T_d = 2 T_p$	111
<b>Figure 5.15</b> Performance of PAPC, M-ACLPC, SA-CLPC, and SA-CLPC* in the presence of unknown delay, $T_d= 2 T_p$	112
<b>Figure 5.16</b> Performance of all power control algorithms in the presence of unknown delay, $T_d= 2 T_p$	113
<b>Figure 5.17</b> Performance of FSPC and PAPC with and without TDC when $T_d = 1T_p$	115
<b>Figure 5.18</b> Effect of $\gamma$ on the performance of PAPC when $T_d = 1T_p$ , 3-D	117
<b>Figure 5.19</b> Effect of $\gamma$ on the performance of PAPC when $T_d = 1T_p$ , 2-D	117
<b>Figure 5.20</b> Effect of $\gamma$ on the performance of PAPC when $T_d = 2T_p$ , 3-D	118
<b>Figure 5.210</b> Effect of $\gamma$ on the performance of PAPC when $T_d = 2T_p$ , 2-D	119
<b>Figure 5.22</b> Performance comparison of PT-APC, $T_d= 1T_p$	120
<b>Figure 5.23</b> Performance comparison of PT-APC, $T_d= 2T_p$	121
<b>Figure 6.1</b> Channel gains, user speed = 5 km/h	125
<b>Figure 6.2</b> Channel gains, user speed = 30 km/h	126
<b>Figure 6.3</b> CTR function with conventional inner loop power control	127
<b>Figure 6.4</b> CTR as a function of PC step sizes and user speeds	128
<b>Figure 6.5</b> CTR of 1dB FSPC and 3dB FSPC	129

<b>Table 6.1</b> Mapping between CTR and user speeds	130
<b>Figure 6.6</b> Speed estimation using lookup table	131
<b>Figure 6.7</b> Relation between CTR and user speed via $f_{CTR}(\bullet)$	132
<b>Figure 6.8</b> Approximation of CTR versus the actual CTR from simulation	133
<b>Figure 6.9</b> Speed estimation using mapping equation	134
<b>Figure 6.10</b> CTR aided adaptive power control	136
<b>Figure 6.11</b> Performance of CAAP with different values of $\varepsilon$	137
<b>Figure 6.12</b> Performance of CTR aided adaptive power control	138
<b>Figure 6.13</b> Performance comparison of FSPC with reference to CAAP	139
<b>Figure 6.14</b> CTR as a function of $\varepsilon$ and user speeds	140
<b>Figure 6.15</b> CAAP-CTR approximation	142
<b>Figure 6.16</b> CAAP-CAP based speed estimation using mapping equation	143

## Abstract

Inner-loop power control is one of the essential radio resource management functions of WCDMA systems. It aims to control the transmission power to ensure that the quality of service for each communication link is adequate and the interference in the system is minimised.

Inner-loop power control currently used in UMTS is a SIR-based fixed stepsize power control (FSPC) algorithm. Transmit Power Control (TPC) commands are sent to control transmission power. This kind of power control algorithm has many limitations such as its inability to track rapid changes in radio channel fading. Furthermore, it creates oscillation when the channel is stable. These limitations result in power control error (PCE) in the received signal. High PCE leads to several performance degradations such as more outage probability and an increase in the total interference.

In this thesis, new inner-loop power control algorithms are proposed to minimise PCE. One of the new algorithms utilises historical information of TPC commands to intelligently adjust the power control stepsize. The performance of the proposed algorithm is compared with adaptive power control algorithms proposed in the literature. The simulation results show that the proposed adaptive power control algorithm outperforms the conventional fixed stepsize power control algorithm. Furthermore, it outperforms other adaptive power control algorithms in some scenarios.

The results from the simulations in this thesis show that delays in the power control feedback channel lead to performance degradations especially for adaptive power control algorithms. A new delay compensation technique named partial time delay compensation (PTDC) is proposed to mitigate the effect of delays. Simulations show that the performance in terms of PCE can be improved using this new compensation technique.

Knowledge of the maximum Doppler frequency, which is closely related to user speed, is invaluable for optimisation of radio networks in several aspects. It can be used to improve the performance of inner loop power control. A new parameter named Consecutive TPC ratio (CTR) is originally defined in this thesis. CTR has a correlation with the maximum Doppler frequency so that it can be used to estimate user speed. The simulation results show that with the use of 1dB FSPC, user speeds can be accurately estimated up to 45 km/h. A new adaptive power control algorithm, named CAAP, in which the stepsize is adjusted using CTR, is also proposed. The simulation result shows that CAAP can achieve similar performance as that of the adaptive power control algorithm in which the stepsize is adjusted based on perfect knowledge of the optimal fixed stepsize for every user speed. Furthermore, the performance of CTR aided speed estimation can be recursively improved with the use of CAAP.

